**f** 



# **JGR** Solid Earth

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

10.1029/2022JB025739

#### **Key Points:**

- The MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> content in bridgmanite remains constant with temperature when bridgmanite coexists with corundum and the CF-phase
- The MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> content in bridgmanite reaches a solubility of 3–4 mol% when bridgmanite coexists with an additional Al-bearing phase
- A significant amount of the Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component can be dissolved into the CF-phase

#### **Supporting Information:**

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

#### **Correspondence to:**

L. Wang, lin.wang@uni-bayreuth.de

#### Citation:

Wang, L., Liu, Z., Koizumi, S., Ballaran, T. B., & Katsura, T. (2023). Aluminum components in bridgmanite coexisting with corundum and the CF-phase with temperature. *Journal* of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 128, e2022JB025739. https://doi. org/10.1029/2022JB025739

Received 17 OCT 2022 Accepted 27 DEC 2022

#### **Author Contributions:**

Conceptualization: Tomoo Katsura Formal analysis: Lin Wang, Zhaodong Liu, Tiziana Boffa Ballaran Funding acquisition: Tomoo Katsura Investigation: Lin Wang Methodology: Lin Wang, Sanae Koizumi Project Administration: Tomoo Katsura Resources: Lin Wang Writing – original draft: Lin Wang Writing – review & editing: Lin Wang, Zhaodong Liu, Sanae Koizumi, Tiziana Boffa Ballaran, Tomoo Katsura

#### © 2023 The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

## WANG ET AL.

# Aluminum Components in Bridgmanite Coexisting With Corundum and the CF-Phase With Temperature

Lin Wang<sup>1,2</sup> , Zhaodong Liu<sup>3</sup>, Sanae Koizumi<sup>4</sup>, Tiziana Boffa Ballaran<sup>1</sup>, and Tomoo Katsura<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bayerisches Geoinstitut, University of Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany, <sup>2</sup>Earth and Planetary Laboratory, Carnegie Institution Washington, Washington, DC, USA, <sup>3</sup>State Key Laboratory of Superhard Materials, Jilin University, Changchun, China, <sup>4</sup>Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

**Abstract** Phase relations in the MgSiO<sub>3</sub>-MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system are investigated at 27 GPa and 2000–2600 K using a multi-anvil apparatus. The AlAlO<sub>3</sub> content in the bridgmanite increases from 8.6 to 20.0 mol% with increasing temperature from 2000 to 2600 K, while the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> content remains nearly constant, that is, 3–4 mol% at these temperatures. Therefore, the presence of an additional Al-bearing phase suppresses the oxygen vacancy substitution for Al<sup>3+</sup> in bridgmanite. Conversely, significant amounts of the Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component can be dissolved into the calcium-ferrite type MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>-Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phase (CF-phase), implying that the CF-phase likely contains some amount of vacancies. Therefore, the CF-phase could also be a candidate mineral for transporting volatiles into the lower mantle. Our results, combined with previous studies on Al-bearing bridgmanite, indicate that, once the Al per formula unit exceeds 0.12 in bridgmanite, the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> content remains nearly constant and the AlAlO<sub>3</sub> component becomes dominant.

**Plain Language Summary** Bridgmanite, the most abundant mineral in the Earth's mantle, can contain  $Al^{3+}$  in the forms of MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> and AlAlO<sub>3</sub>. To constrain the substitution mechanism of  $Al^{3+}$  in bridgmanite, we investigate the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> and AlAlO<sub>3</sub> contents in bridgmanite coexisting with a calcium-ferrite type phase and corundum at different temperatures. Our results demonstrate that the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> content reaches saturation (3–4 mol%) when an additional Al-bearing phase exists in the system.

# 1. Introduction

Bridgmanite is the most abundant mineral in the Earth's lower mantle. Consequently, it should govern the structure, dynamics, and evolution of the lower mantle. Accordingly, it is important to have the best possible knowledge concerning its chemistry. The major component of bridgmanite is  $MgSiO_3$ . However, it can incorporate  $Al^{3+}$ ,  $Fe^{2+}$ , and  $Fe^{3+}$  as secondary elements. Hence, the chemistry of bridgmanite needs to be investigated in the Mg–Fe–Al–Si–O system. Because it too challenging to determine the effect of each individual element on the bridgmanite chemistry when investigating this five-component system, we need to start by investigating bridgmanite in simple systems and then expand to more complex systems. Another essential strategy in our series of investigations is to uniquely control the bridgmanite chemistry via the coexisting phases based on the phase rule. Using these strategies, the phase relations of the MgO– $Al_2O_3$ – $SiO_2$ , MgO– $Fe_2O_3$ – $SiO_2$ , and MgO– $FeAlO_3$ – $SiO_2$  systems with specific coexisting phases as a function of pressure and temperature have been investigated in recent studies (Fei et al., 2020, 2021; Liu, Akaogi & Katsura, 2019; Liu, Ballaran, et al., 2019; Liu, Ishii, & Katsura, 2017; Liu et al., 2020).

Because of its simplicity, the MgO–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> system has been the most extensively investigated (Liu, Akaogi & Katsura, 2019; Liu, Ballaran, et al., 2019; Liu, Ishii, & Katsura, 2017; Liu, Nishi, et al., 2017). It has been found that bridgmanite accommodates Al as a charge-coupled (CC) component and/or an oxygen-vacancy (OV) component in the forms of AlAlO<sub>3</sub> and MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub>, respectively (Hirsch & Shankland, 1991; Kojitani, Katsura, & Akaogi, 2007; Navrotsky et al., 2003; Panero et al., 2006; Yamamoto et al., 2003). The incorporation of Al into bridgmanite can affect its chemical and physical properties (Andrault et al., 2001, 2007; Ballaran et al., 2012; Daniel et al., 2004; Frost & Langenhorst, 2002; Frost et al., 2004; McCammon, 1997; Vanpeteghem et al., 2006; Xu et al., 1998). For example, the OV component considerably decreases the bulk modulus (Brodholt, 2000; Jackson et al., 2004; Walter et al., 2004, 2006; Yagi et al., 2004; Zhang & Weidner, 1999) and could help transport H<sub>2</sub>O (Fu et al., 2019; Murakami et al., 2002; Navrotsky, 1999) and noble gases (Shcheka & Keppler, 2012) into

the lower mantle. Conversely, the geochemical and geophysical effects of the CC component appear insignificant. Therefore, it is essential to constrain the OV and CC contents in bridgmanite under various conditions.

The OV and CC contents are controlled by the MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> activities of the system. With increasing Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> activity, bridgmanite in the MgO-SiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system coexists successively with only periclase, periclase and the CF-phase, the CF-phase and corundum, and ultimately only corundum. Coexisting either with periclase or corundum, maximizes the OV or CC components, respectively (Liu, Akaogi & Katsura, 2019; Liu, Ballaran, et al., 2019; Liu, Nishi, et al., 2017; Walter et al., 2006). When coexisting with periclase, the OV content increases from 3 to 6.5 mol% while the CC content decreases from 4 to 1.5 mol% with increasing temperature from 1700 to 2400 K at 27 GPa (Liu, Akaogi, & Katsura, 2019). Conversely, when coexisting with corundum, the CC content increases from 7.5 to 19 mol% under the same conditions, while the OV content remains close to zero (Liu, Nishi, et al., 2017). In an intermediate compositional system, where bridgmanite coexists with periclase and the CF-phase, only 1 and 2 mol% increases in the OV and CC contents, respectively, were observed under the same pressure-temperature ranges (Liu, Akaogi, & Katsura, 2019). Between coexistences with only corundum and with periclase and the CF-phase, there is a region in which bridgmanite coexists with the CF-phase and corundum; this region has not yet been studied. To obtain a comprehensive understanding of the bridgmanite chemistry, knowledge of the compositional variation of bridgmanite in this region as a function of pressure and temperature is useful. Furthermore, because the CF-phase is also a dominant phase in basaltic systems (Irifune & Ringwood, 1993), knowledge concerning the Al components of bridgmanite coexisting with the CF-phase is crucial to understand the chemical and geophysical properties of subducted slabs. In addition, this assemblage should also appear when crustal rocks with high Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content are subducted into the lower mantle.

In this study, we determine the OV and CC contents in bridgmanite coexisting with the CF-phase and corundum with increasing temperature from 2000 to 2600 K at a constant pressure of 27 GPa using a multi-anvil press. The results demonstrate that the OV content in bridgmanite remains essentially constant while the CC content increases with temperature. The combination of our results with those of previous studies (Grüninger et al., 2019; Liu, Akaogi, & Katsura, 2019) suggests that the OV content remains nearly constant when the Al per formula unit (pfu) in bridgmanite exceeds 0.12 regardless of temperature and that the CC component becomes dominant at high Al pfu.

## 2. Materials and Methods

Mixtures of MgO,  $Al_2O_3$ , and  $SiO_2$  nanoparticles prepared at the Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo, were used as starting materials. The preparation method is explained by Koizumi et al. (2010). The  $SiO_2:Al_2O_3:MgO$  weight ratios of the samples were 30:40:30 (sample group 1) and 20:55:25 (sample group 2). Namely, sample group 2 had a higher  $Al_2O_3$  content than sample group 1. The grain size was approximately 50 nm to facilitate chemical equilibrium. These bulk compositions fall in the bridgmanite + CF-phase + corundum region based on Liu et al. (Liu, Akaogi & Katsura, 2019; Liu, Ballaran, et al., 2019).

High pressure–temperature experiments were conducted using a 15-MN multi-anvil press (IRIS-15) equipped with an Osugi-type guide-block system at the Bayerisches Geoinstitut (BGI), University of Bayreuth (Ishii et al., 2016, 2019). Sample groups 1 and 2 were loaded separately into two Pt capsules with outer and inner diameters of 1.0 and 0.8 mm, respectively. One end of the capsules was closed via arc welding. The capsules were placed in a vacuum furnace for 2 hr to remove adhesive water. The capsules were then placed on a hot plate at 100°C, and their open ends were closed and sealed via arc welding. Next, they were loaded into a MgO sleeve within a LaCrO<sub>3</sub> heater in a 5 wt.% Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-doped MgO octahedron with an edge length of 7.0 mm. A type-D thermocouple was inserted through the heater, and its junction was sandwiched by the two Pt capsules to monitor the sample temperature. The sample assembly was compressed to 27 GPa at ambient temperature using eight tungsten carbide anvils with edge lengths of 26 mm and truncations of 3.0 mm (Ishii et al., 2016). After reaching the target pressure, the samples were heated to target temperatures of 2000, 2300, 2500, and 2600 K at a ramping rate of 100 K/min. The heating durations were 2–25 hr (Table 1). Next, the samples were quenched to room temperature by switching off the heating power and decompressing to ambient pressure over a period of more than 16 hr.

The run products were mounted in Epoxy resin. Their cross sections were prepared by polishing with sandpaper and diamond pastes. Phases in the recovered samples were identified using a micro-focused X-ray diffractometer (Bruker AXS D8 Discover) equipped with a two-dimensional solid-state detector and a microfocus source of

micrometric procession         mode         Mol	Substrate organization         Mot	Table 1Experimental Cor	tditions and C	<i>Composition</i> .	s of the Phc	<i>uses in the K</i>	Run Products												
Inc.         Inc. <th< th=""><th>OSC 2000K.2610         MeAOL         MeAOL</th><th>Starting composition</th><th>Phase</th><th><math>Al_2O_3</math></th><th>MgO</th><th><math>SiO_2</math></th><th>Total</th><th>AI</th><th>Mg</th><th>Si</th><th>0</th><th></th><th>Brg</th><th></th><th></th><th>CF</th><th></th><th>C</th><th></th></th<>	OSC 2000K.2610         MeAOL	Starting composition	Phase	$Al_2O_3$	MgO	$SiO_2$	Total	AI	Mg	Si	0		Brg			CF		C	
amply poind         base         stand         stand <tt>stand</tt> <tt>stand</tt>	andegroup         Tay is a local         Sal (3)	1675 (2000 K, 26 hr)										MgAlO <sub>2.5</sub>	MgSiO <sub>3</sub>	AIAIO <sub>3</sub>	$Mg_2SiO_4$	MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	$Al_{8/3}O_4$	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	MgSiO <sub>3</sub>
	Circle         318(0)         343(0)<	sample group 1	Bri $(n = 19)$	10.78(52)	36.91(35)	52.56(38)	100.24(57)	0.211(9)	0.915(7)	0.874(7)	2.979(0)	4.1(9)	87.4(8)	8.5(6)					
Ga(i=)         Su(3)         Math	Code         S27021         S24091         D31071         D34091         D3101         D3101        <		CF(n = 3)	53.18(107)	35.43(84)	12.10(49)	100.71(150)	1.474(16)	1.2412(8)	0.286(13)	4.021(0)				28.3(13)	66.9(29)	4.8(17)		
simple promp2If (a = 1)(a (b)(a)(a (c)(a)(a (c)(a)	Simple group         Bit (i = 1)         1068-(i)         S20(0)         12.37(1)         1037(2)         13.34(2)         13.44(2)		$\operatorname{Cor}(n=8)$	82.70(72)	7.46(39)	10.81(57)	100.96(67)	0.816(9)	0.093(5)	0.091(4)	1.499(0)							81.6(7)	17.9(7)
	Cf (n = 0)         S37(6)         S00(47)	Sample group 2	Bri $(n = 7)$	10.68(43)	36.36(13)	52.03(26)	99.07(61)	0.212(7)	0.912(4)	0.876(4)	2.982(0)	3.7(4)	87.6(5)	8.8(3)					
Image: for (i = 1)         S14420         S17(0)         S14420         S17(0)         S14400         S17(0)         S1400         S17(0)	Current is a starting in the interval of the interval o		CF(n=6)	52.47(62)	35.01(47)	12.60(117)	100.08(109)	1.465(28)	1.236(12)	0.298(24)	4.031(0)				29.6(25)	63.5(59)	6.9(34)		
Monolity in the probability of the propertional propertind propertical propertional propertional propertional pr	050200K710         MaN0_1         MaN		Cor(n = 13)	81.54(226)	7.37(66)	10.58(95)	99.49(88)	0.817(17)	0.093(9)	(6)060.0	1.498(0)							81.4(18)	17.9(17)
mandegroupi latic	ample group1         Bit (i = 1)         6.5%C3         3.4.3(i)         6.0.5%C3         3.4.3(i)         6.0.5%C3         3.4.3(i)         6.0.5%C3         3.4.3(i)         6.0.5%C3         3.4.3(i)         3.5.6%C3         3.5.6%C3         3.2.6%C3	1679 (2300 K, 7 hr)										MgAlO <sub>2.5</sub>	$MgSiO_3$	AIAIO <sub>3</sub>	${\rm Mg_2SiO_4}$	${\rm MgAl}_{2}{\rm O}_{4}$	$\mathbf{Al}_{8/3}\mathbf{O}_4$	$\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3$	MgSiO <sub>3</sub>
	CF (n = 12)         9.9.5(3)         5.12(30)         10.73(6)         1.370(1)         1.350(1)         3.5.1(1)	sample group 1	Bri $(n = 6)$	16.96(22)	34.34(16)	49.25(30)	100.55(38)	0.332(4)	0.850(3)	0.818(4)	2.984(0)	3.2(7)	81.8(5)	15.0(2)					
	$ \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $		CF(n = 12)	49.36(54)	36.27(50)	15.12(60)	100.76(86)	1.370(15)	1.274(16)	0.356(12)	4.041(0)				35.2(12)	55.6(35)	9.2(24)		
sample group 2         Bit (n = 1)         (55(4)         (3.94(7)         (3.94(2)         (3.84(7)         (3.84(1)         (3.84(1)         (3.84(1)         (3.84(1))	$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		Cor $(n = 11)$	73.38(66)	10.78(58)	15.63(78)	99.80(88)	0.732(11)	0.136(6)	0.132(6)	1.498(0)							72.9(12)	26.3(11)
$ \begin{array}{   c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sample group 2	Bri $(n = 14)$	16.55(43)	33.94(21)	49.13(47)	99.62(57)	0.327(8)	0.849(5)	0.824(5)	2.988(0)	2.5(6)	82.4(6)	15.1(4)					
	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		CF(n = 19)	48.87(75)	36.27(40)	15.31(55)	100.45(85)	1.361(18)	1.277(11)	0.362(12)	4.042(0)				35.8(12)	54.8(25)	9.4.1(15)		
dec 2.300 k. 10 hb         mgx01         Mgr01         Mgr01 <td>662 (300 k, 10h)         Bi (n = 24)         17.72(70)         49.43(57)         0.945(7)         0.845(7)         0.845(7)         0.845(7)         0.845(7)         0.871(0)         15.57(7)         Mg.SIO,         Mg.SIO,         Mg.SIO,         Mg.AI,O,         Mg.AI,O,<td></td><td>Cor(n = 21)</td><td>71.87(29)</td><td>10.85(13)</td><td>16.32(12)</td><td>99.04(29)</td><td>0.723(2)</td><td>0.138(2)</td><td>0.139(1)</td><td>1.500(0)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>72.4(3)</td><td>27.9(2)</td></td>	662 (300 k, 10h)         Bi (n = 24)         17.72(70)         49.43(57)         0.945(7)         0.845(7)         0.845(7)         0.845(7)         0.845(7)         0.871(0)         15.57(7)         Mg.SIO,         Mg.SIO,         Mg.SIO,         Mg.AI,O,         Mg.AI,O, <td></td> <td>Cor(n = 21)</td> <td>71.87(29)</td> <td>10.85(13)</td> <td>16.32(12)</td> <td>99.04(29)</td> <td>0.723(2)</td> <td>0.138(2)</td> <td>0.139(1)</td> <td>1.500(0)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>72.4(3)</td> <td>27.9(2)</td>		Cor(n = 21)	71.87(29)	10.85(13)	16.32(12)	99.04(29)	0.723(2)	0.138(2)	0.139(1)	1.500(0)							72.4(3)	27.9(2)
sample group1Bit (n=24)(1.7.27(0)40.63(2)40.45(6)(0.24(4)0.48(1) <th< td=""><td>cumple group 1         Bit (n = 24)         17.72 (70)         40.363 (2)         48.46(7)         100.214(9)         0.348(1)         0.348</td><td>1662 (2300 K, 10 hr)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub></td><td><math>MgSiO_3</math></td><td><math>AIAIO_3</math></td><td><math>{\rm Mg_2SiO_4}</math></td><td><math>MgAl_2O_4</math></td><td><math display="block">\mathbf{Al}_{8/3}\mathbf{O}_4</math></td><td><math>Al_2O_3</math></td><td><math>MgSiO_3</math></td></th<>	cumple group 1         Bit (n = 24)         17.72 (70)         40.363 (2)         48.46(7)         100.214(9)         0.348(1)         0.348	1662 (2300 K, 10 hr)										MgAlO <sub>2.5</sub>	$MgSiO_3$	$AIAIO_3$	${\rm Mg_2SiO_4}$	$MgAl_2O_4$	$\mathbf{Al}_{8/3}\mathbf{O}_4$	$Al_2O_3$	$MgSiO_3$
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	sample group 1	Bri $(n = 24)$	17.72(70)	34.03(32)	48.45(67)	100.21(49)	0.348(14)	0.845(7)	0.807(9)	2.981(0)	3.8(9)	80.7(10)	15.5(7)					
	$ \begin{array}{cccccc} \label{conditional} condit$		CF(n = 8)	47.52(34)	36.35(27)	15.60(15)	99.47(56)	1.336(5)	1.292(8)	0.372(3)	4.040(0)				36.8(3)	54.3(10)	8.9(9)		
Sample group 1Bit (n = 14) $1743(3)$ $33.71(34)$ $4.797(58)$ $99.17(59)$ $0.346(8)$ $0.847(7)$ $0.807(6)$ $2980(7)$ $16.77(7)$ $15.3(6)$ $15.3(2)$ $81.0(5)$ <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c </math></td> <td></td> <td>Cor(n = 17)</td> <td>71.05(47)</td> <td>11.83(25)</td> <td>17.33(36)</td> <td>100.21(47)</td> <td>0.705(5)</td> <td>0.149(3)</td> <td>0.146(3)</td> <td>1.499(0)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>70.4(5)</td> <td>29.1(5)</td>	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Cor(n = 17)	71.05(47)	11.83(25)	17.33(36)	100.21(47)	0.705(5)	0.149(3)	0.146(3)	1.499(0)							70.4(5)	29.1(5)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sample group 2	Bri $(n = 14)$	17.43(38)	33.77(34)	47.97(58)	99.17(69)	0.346(8)	0.847(7)	0.807(6)	2.980(0)	4.0(10)	80.7(7)	15.3(6)					
	Cor (n = 17)         70.18(82)         11.77(20)         17.08(28)         90.3(80)         0.705(5)         0.146(2)         14.98(0) $MgS10_{25}$ MgS10_{25}         MgS10_{25}         MgA12_0 $MgA12_0$ $MgA1_2$ $Mg$		CF(n = 20)	47.45(48)	36.53(29)	15.54(23)	99.52(78)	1.333(8)	1.297(5)	0.370(4)	4.036(0)				36.7(4)	55.2(9)	8.1(6)		
(672 (2500 (K, 4)t)         (672 (2500 (K, 4)t)         (872 (2500 (K, 4)t))         (8510)         (814)(2)         (854)(2)			Cor(n = 17)	70.18(82)	11.77(20)	17.08(28)	99.03(80)	0.705(5)	0.150(3)	0.146(2)	1.498(0)							70.2(5)	20(5)
	Bit (n = 14)         19.21(50)         33.12(37)         47.75(34)         100.08(75)         0.378(8)         0.824(5)         0.797(6)         2.96(0)         2.7(6)         17.6(4)           CF (n = 10)         46.94(61)         36.69(39)         16.82(14)         100.045(90)         1.309(7)         12.94(6)         0.388(5)         4.052(0)         39.3(5)         49.2(13)         11.6(9)         67.9(3)           Cor (n = 14)         67.94(56)         12.71(21)         18.90(13)         99.55(71)         0.679(2)         0.160(1)         1.500(0)         39.3(5)         49.2(13)         11.6(9)         67.9(3)           6700         2.0r (n = 14)         67.94(56)         12.71(21)         18.90(13)         99.55(71)         0.679(2)         0.160(1)         1.500(0)         2.7(6)         39.3(5)         49.2(13)         11.6(9)         67.9(3)           6700         2.0r (n = 18)         21.87(36)         19.90(13)         99.55(71)         0.679(2)         0.160(1)         1.500(0)         2.7(6)         Mg.2.0,         Mg.2.0,         Mg.2.0,         Mg.0.4         MJ.0.3           6700         2.0r (n = 18)         21.87(20)         37.61(2)         0.296(2)         0.454(3)         0.454(3)         0.456(3)         0.457(3)         0.457(3)	1672 (2500 K, 4 hr)										MgAlO <sub>2.5</sub>	$MgSiO_3$	$AIAIO_3$	${\rm Mg_2SiO_4}$	${\rm MgAl}_{2}{\rm O}_{4}$	$\mathbf{Al}_{8/3}\mathbf{O}_4$	$Al_2O_3$	$MgSiO_3$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	sample group 1	Bri $(n = 14)$	19.21(50)	33.12(37)	47.75(34)	100.08(75)	0.378(8)	0.824(5)	0.797(6)	2.986(0)	2.7(6)	79.7(6)	17.6(4)					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CF(n = 10)	46.94(61)	36.69(39)	16.82(14)	100.45(90)	1.309(7)	1.294(6)	0.398(5)	4.052(0)				39.3(5)	49.2(13)	11.6(9)		
	1670 (2600 K, 2 hr)       MgAIO_{2.5}		Cor(n = 14)	67.94(56)	12.71(21)	18.90(13)	99.55(71)	0.679(2)	0.161(2)	0.160(1)	1.500(0)							67.9(3)	32.0(4)
sample group 1 Bri $(n = 18)$ 21.87(36) 31.94(26) 45.69(36) 99.50(54) 0.433(7) 0.800(6) 0.767(3) 2.984(0) 3.2(5) 76.7(4) 20.0(5) CF $(n = 12)$ 43.65(46) 37.61(32) 19.19(19) 100.45(89) 1.218(4) 1.328(3) 0.454(3) 4.063(0) 44.7(3) 41.2(9) 14.0(67) Cor $(n = 8)$ 62.48(32) 15.58(10) 22.75(19) 100.81(53) 0.616(2) 0.194(1) 0.190(1) 1.498(0) 1.498(0) 64.3(1) 37.9(2)	<ul> <li>Bri (n = 18) 21.87(36) 31.94(26) 45.69(36) 99.50(54) 0.433(7) 0.800(6) 0.767(3) 2.984(0) 3.2(5) 76.7(4) 20.0(5)</li> <li>CF (n = 12) 43.65(46) 37.61(32) 19.19(19) 100.45(89) 1.218(4) 1.328(3) 0.454(3) 4.063(0)</li> <li>Cor (n = 8) 62.48(32) 15.58(10) 22.75(19) 100.81(53) 0.616(2) 0.190(1) 1.498(0)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 44.7(3) 41.2(9) 14.0(67)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 44.7(3) 4.063(0)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 44.7(3) 4.1.2(9) 14.0(67)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 44.7(3) 4.063(0)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 44.7(3) 4.1.2(9) 14.0(67)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 44.7(3) 4.063(0)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 44.7(3) 4.063(0)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 44.7(3) 4.063(0)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 44.7(3) 4.063(0)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 4.4.7(3) 4.1.2(9) 14.0(67)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 4.4.7(3) 4.063(0)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 4.4.7(3) 4.1.2(9) 14.0(67)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 4.4.7(3) 4.1.2(9) 14.1.2(9)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 4.4.7(1) 4.1.2(10)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 4.4.7(10)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 4.4.7(1) 4.1.2(10)</li> <li>At 0.63(0) 4.4.7(1) 4.1.</li></ul>	1670 (2600 K, 2 hr)										MgAlO <sub>2.5</sub>	$MgSiO_3$	$AIAIO_3$	${\rm Mg_2SiO_4}$	${\rm MgAl}_{2}{\rm O}_{4}$	$\mathrm{Al}_{8/3}\mathrm{O}_4$	$Al_2O_3$	$MgSiO_3$
$ CF(n = 12)  43.65(46)  37.61(32)  19.19(19)  100.45(89)  1.218(4)  1.328(3)  0.454(3)  4.063(0) \\ Cor(n = 8)  62.48(32)  15.58(10)  22.75(19)  100.81(53)  0.616(2)  0.194(1)  0.190(1)  1.498(0) \\ \end{array} $	CF(n = 12)  43.65(46)  37.61(32)  19.19(19)  100.45(89)  1.218(4)  1.328(3)  0.454(3)  4.063(0)  44.7(3)  41.2(9)  14.0(67)  0.13(1)  Cor (n = 8)  62.48(32)  15.58(10)  22.75(19)  100.81(53)  0.616(22)  0.194(1)  0.190(1)  1.498(0)  61.3(1)  0.13(1)  0.196(1)	sample group 1	Bri $(n = 18)$	21.87(36)	31.94(26)	45.69(36)	99.50(54)	0.433(7)	0.800(6)	0.767(3)	2.984(0)	3.2(5)	76.7(4)	20.0(5)					
Cor(n = 8)  62.48(32)  15.58(10)  22.75(19)  100.81(53)  0.616(2)  0.194(1)  0.190(1)  1.498(0)  0.190(1)  0.	Cor $(n = 8)$ $(2.48(32)$ $15.58(10)$ $2.75(19)$ $100.81(53)$ $0.616(2)$ $0.194(1)$ $0.190(1)$ $1.498(0)$ $61.3(1)$		CF(n = 12)	43.65(46)	37.61(32)	19.19(19)	100.45(89)	1.218(4)	1.328(3)	0.454(3)	4.063(0)				44.7(3)	41.2(9)	14.0(67)		
	Note. Oxide analyses are reported in wt.%. The total cation numbers of bridgmanite (Brg) and corundum (Crn) are normalized to 2 and 3, respectively. The anion numbers of the calcium-ferrite type structured MgAI <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -Mg <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub> -AIAIO		$\operatorname{Cor}(n=8)$	62.48(32)	15.58(10)	22.75(19)	100.81(53)	0.616(2)	0.194(1)	0.190(1)	1.498(0)							61.3(1)	37.9(2)

# Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth



ADVANCING EARTH AND SPACE SCIENCE



# Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth



Figure 1. Back-scattered electron images of run products at various temperatures. Here, Brg indicates bridgmanite, Crn indicates corundum; and CF indicates calcium-ferrite type structured  $MgAl_2O_4-Mg_2SiO_4-AlAlO_3$ .

Co-K $\alpha$  radiation operated at 40 kV and 500  $\mu$ A at BGI. The exposure time was over 1 hr for each sample with a beam size of ~100  $\mu$ m. The unit cell volumes of the CF-phase were determined by full-profile Le Bail fitting of the diffraction patterns using the GSAS software package on the EXPGUI interface (Larson & Von Dreele, 1994; Toby, 2001). Textures of the run products were observed using a LEO1530 scanning electron microscope with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS) at BGI. Chemical compositions of the constituting minerals were measured using a JEOL 8530F field-emission electron probe microanalyzer (EPMA) at the Earth and Planets Laboratory, Carnegie Institute for Science, USA, with an acceleration voltage of 10 kV and a beam current of 15 nA with enstatite as the standard for Mg and Si and corundum as the standard for Al. Only regions close to the thermocouples were analyzed to eliminate the possible effect of the temperature gradient inside the cell assembly on the phase compositions.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Phase Assemblages

Bridgmanite, corundum, and the CF-phase were identified in all run products from sample group 1 according to the X-ray diffraction patterns (Figure S1 in Supporting Information S2). These three phases were distinguished in back-scattered electron (BSE) images (Figure 1) combined with an EDS analysis. Bridgmanite appeared as the brightest grains. These grains showed a typical cleavage-like texture (Figures 1c and 1d) or very sharp grain-boundaries (Figures 1a and 1b), with sizes of  $2-7 \mu m$  depending on the temperature. Corundum appeared as the darkest grains. The CF-phase exhibited an intermediate brightness and a smooth surface. The run products from sample group 2 showed granular mixtures of the three phases at 2000 and 2300 K, similar to those from sample group 1. However, the run products from sample group 2 at 2500 K showed stratification of the corundum, bridgmanite, and CF-phase (Figure S2A in Supporting Information S2). The run product from sample group 2 at 2600 K also showed a stratification that consisted of only corundum and the CF-phase (Figure S2B)





**Figure 2.** Ternary phase relations in the studied system at 27 GPa and different temperatures. Triangles 1 and 2 indicate the starting materials 1 and 2.

in Supporting Information S2). These corundum and CF-phase grains were abnormally large, ranging from 10 to 90  $\mu$ m. These features imply that melting occurred in these samples. Sample group 2 should have the same solidus temperature as sample group 1 because the systems both have three phases with three components, that is, MgO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and SiO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, the melting of sample group 2 may have been caused by adhesive water; the removal of adhesive water before welding was likely insufficient in these samples.

#### **3.2.** Phase Compositions

Table 1 lists the compositions of bridgmanite and the coexisting phases in addition to the experimental conditions. Because of the possible melting at 2500 and 2600 K in sample group 2, we report only the phase compositions from sample group 1 at these temperatures. Figure 2 summarizes the phase compositions at different temperatures as a ternary phase diagram. The bulk composition of sample group 2 falls out of the ternary area at 2600 K, which agrees with the observation that only two phases are present in sample group 2.

The following pieces of evidence suggest chemical equilibrium among the phases. First, the phase compositions from different starting materials are essentially identical in the same runs. Second, the grains in the run products

are more than 1.5 orders of magnitude larger than those of the starting materials (2–7 vs. 50 nm). Third, the BSE images indicate homogeneous composition within each grain. Fourth, the dihedral angles of  $\sim$ 120° at the triple junction of the three phases indicate a textural equilibrium.

The Al pfu in bridgmanite increases from 0.211(9) to 0.433(7) with increasing temperature from 2000 to 2600 K (Figure 3a). The OV and CC contents were calculated according to the equations  $Mg_xAl_zSi_yO_{x+1.5z+2y} = y$   $MgSiO_3 + (x - y) MgAlO_{2.5}$  (OV) + (z - x + y)/2 AlAlO<sub>3</sub> (CC) and x + y + z = 2. Figure 3b shows the variation in the OV and CC contents with temperature. The OV contents are identical within the errors regardless of the temperature, that is, 3–4 mol% (Figure 3b). Conversely, the CC content increases from 8.6(5) to 20.0(5) mol% with increasing temperature from 2000 to 2600 K (Figure 3c). This demonstrates that the OV component has reached saturation and that the dissolved Al in the bridgmanite exists as the CC component.

Even though the CF-phase was treated as a solid solution in the MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>–Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> system (Kojitani, Hisatomi, & Akaogi, 2007), a Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component was observed in this study. The Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, and MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> contents were calculated according to the equation Mg<sub>x</sub>Al<sub>z</sub>Si<sub>y</sub>O<sub>x+1.5z+2y</sub> = y Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> + (x - 2y) MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + 3(z - 2x + 4y)/8 Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> content increases from 6(3) to 14.0(6) mol% from 2000 to 2600 K (Figure 3c). As Figures 1c and 1d shows, the grain sizes of the CF-phase are larger than 5 µm, at least at temperatures of 2500 and 2600 K; therefore, the presence of this Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component is not due to possible errors in the EPMA analysis. The dissolved Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component in the CF-phase is also supported by the fact that the unit cell volume of the CF-phase decreases with increasing Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> content (see Section 3.3). Kojitani, Hisatomi, and Akaogi (2007) showed that the unit cell volume of the CF-phase increases with its Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> content. The decrease in the unit cell volume of the CF-phase is related to the increase in the Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component into the CF-phase increases with its Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> content. The decrease in the unit cell volume of the CF-phase increases with its Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> content. The decrease in the unit cell volume of the CF-phase increases with its Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> content. The decrease in the Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component into the CF-phase increases with its Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> content. The decrease in the Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component into the CF-phase increases with its Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> content. Because the Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component into the CF-phase increases from 60(5) to 41(1) mol% and increased from 29(2) to 44.7(3) mol%, respectively, with increasing temperature from 2000 to 2600 K (Figure 3d).

#### 3.3. Unit-Cell Volumes

The unit cell volumes of bridgmanite have been extensively investigated (d'Amour et al., 1978; Irifune et al., 1996; Ito & Matsui, 1978; Ito et al., 1998; Kubo & Akaogi, 2000; Liu, Akaogi, & Katsura, 2019; Liu, Ballaran, et al., 2019; Liu, Nishi, et al., 2017; Walter et al., 2004; Weng et al., 1981; Yagi et al., 2004). Unit-cell-volume data from the literature and the current study (Table 2) can be fitted to the following linear function:

$$V^{\text{Brg}}\left[\text{cm}^{3}/\text{mol}\right] = 24.44 + x * X^{\text{Brg}}_{\text{MgAIO}_{2.5}} + y * X_{\text{AlAIO}_{3}},$$
 (1a)



# Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth



Figure 3. Compositional variation of bridgmanite and the CF-phase with increasing temperature: (a) Al per formula unit (pfu) in bridgmanite; (b) oxygen-vacancy and charge-coupled contents in bridgmanite; (c) Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> content in the CF-phase; and (d) Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> and MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> contents in the CF-phase.

where 24.44 cm<sup>3</sup>/mol is the molar volume of pure MgSiO<sub>3</sub> bridgmanite (Horiuchi et al., 1987), x and y are the excess molar volumes of the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> and AlAlO<sub>3</sub> endmembers relative to the MgSiO<sub>3</sub> endmember, respectively, and  $X_{MgAIO_{2,5}}$  and  $X_{AIAIO_3}$  are the MgAIO<sub>2,5</sub> and AIAIO<sub>3</sub> contents, respectively, in mol%. The fitting yielded x = 2.1(6) and y = 0.9(2) and gives molar volumes of the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> and AlAlO<sub>3</sub> endmembers of  $V_{\text{MgAIO2.5}}^{\text{Brg}} = 26.5(6) \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}$  and  $V_{\text{AlAIO3}}^{\text{Brg}} = 25.3(2) \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}$ , respectively. As previously discussed in Liu, Akaogi, and Katsura (2019), the molar volumes of the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> and AlAlO<sub>3</sub> components are larger than that of the MgSiO<sub>3</sub> component, with that of the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> component being particularly large. These partial volumes agree with the decrease in the MgSiO<sub>25</sub> component with pressure (Liu, Ishii, & Katsura, 2017). The molar volumes of the AlAlO<sub>3</sub> and MgSiO<sub>3</sub> components of corundum are 25.64(1) and 25.96(8) cm<sup>3</sup>/mol, respectively (Liu, Nishi, et al., 2017). The volume reductions of the corundum-bridgmanite transition are 1.3% and 5.9% for

Table 2 Unit Cell	Parameters of 1	the CF-Phase	and Bridgmar	uite Synthesized o	ut Different Te	mperatures		
	CF-phase					Bridg	manite	
T [K]	a [Å]	<i>b</i> [Å]	<i>c</i> [Å]	V [Å <sup>3</sup> ]	<i>a</i> [Å]	<i>b</i> [Å]	<i>c</i> [Å]	V [Å <sup>3</sup> ]
2000	9.995(2)	8.607(1)	2.788(0)	239.91(17)	4.771(3)	4.935(3)	6.926(3)	163.10(12)
2,300	10.005(1)	8.569(1)	2.787(0)	239.01(8)	4.764(2)	4.933(3)	6.943(3)	163.20(31)

238.53(25)

4.764(5)

4.929(7)

6.942(7)

Note. Numbers in parentheses represent the standard deviation for the last digit(s).

2.778(0)

8.569(1)

2500

10.018(2)

163.04(23)



the AlAlO<sub>3</sub> and MgSiO<sub>3</sub> components, respectively. Therefore, the volume decrease in the MgSiO<sub>3</sub> component is larger than that in the AlAlO<sub>3</sub> component. This is consistent with the fact that the formation of AlAlO<sub>3</sub> bridgmanite requires a much higher pressure than that of MgSiO<sub>3</sub> bridgmanite. The volume reduction of the corundum–bridgmanite transition in the AlAlO<sub>3</sub> component is also consistent with the fact that the pressure favors AlAlO<sub>3</sub> incorporation in bridgmanite (Liu, Nishi, et al., 2017).

The unit cell parameters of the CF-phase and bridgmanite synthesized at 2000, 2300, and 2500 K are summarized in Table 2. At these temperatures, the  $Al_{8/3}O_4$  content in the CF-phase increases from 6(3) to 11.6(9) mol% and the Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> content increases from 29(2) to 39.3(5) mol%. The MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> content in the bridgmanite decreases from 4.1(9) to 3.1 (5) mol%, and the AlAlO<sub>3</sub> content increases from 8.6(6) to 17.6(5) mol%.

To distinguish the effects of the  $Mg_2SiO_4$  and  $AIAIO_3$  components on the unit cell volume, we fitted the volumes of the CF-phase with a linear function:

$$V\left[\mathrm{cm}^{3}/\mathrm{mol}\right] = 36.13 + a * X_{\mathrm{Mg}_{2}\mathrm{SiO}_{4}} + b * X_{\mathrm{Al}_{8/3}\mathrm{O}_{4}},\tag{1b}$$

where *V* is the molar volume of the CF-phase; 36.13 cm<sup>3</sup>/mol is the molar volume of the pure MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> CF-phase (Kojitani, Hisatomi, & Akaogi, 2007); *a* and *b* are the excess molar volumes of the Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> and Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> endmembers, respectively, relative to the MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> endmember; and  $X_{Mg_2SiO_4}$  and  $X_{AlAlO_3}$  are the Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> and Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> contents, respectively, in mol%. A fitting yields a = 0.74(8) cm<sup>3</sup>/mol and b = -4.5(3) cm<sup>3</sup>/mol. Namely, the molar volume of the CF-phase increases with the Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> and Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> endmembers, the molar volume of the CF-phase increases with the Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> and Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> endmembers, the molar volumes can be found:  $V_{Mg_2SiO_4} = 36.87$  cm<sup>3</sup>/mol and  $V_{Al_{8/3}O_4} = 31.61$  cm<sup>3</sup>/mol. Our estimated molar volume of Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> lies between those of Kojitani, Hisatomi, and Akaogi (2007) (36.49 cm<sup>3</sup>/mol) and Yamada et al. (1983) (37.26 cm<sup>3</sup>/mol). Note that the *a*-axis lattice parameter increases while the *b*- and *c*-axis lattice parameters decrease with the Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> content. This indicates that the Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component has some preferred crystallographic sites. A further detailed study may better resolve the structure of this phase.

#### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Thermodynamics of Al-Bearing Bridgmanite

In this section, we formulate the thermodynamics of the OV and CC components in  $MgSiO_3$  bridgmanite. The Gibbs free energy of the endmembers in bridgmanite and the interaction parameters can be obtained by fitting data from the present and previous studies (Liu, Akaogi & Katsura, 2019; Liu, Nishi, et al., 2017). The Al-bearing bridgmanite can be treated as a solid solution of the  $MgSiO_3$ ,  $AlAlO_3$ , and  $MgAlO_{2.5}$  components. Accordingly, the Gibbs free energy of these three endmembers as well as three macroscopic interaction parameters (Powell & Holland, 1993) are required to describe this system.

We first derive the interaction parameters between the MgSiO<sub>3</sub> and AlAlO<sub>3</sub> components ( $W_{AlAlO_3-MgSiO_3}^{Brg}$ ) based on data from Liu, Nishi, et al. (2017). Then, we derive the interaction parameter between AlAlO<sub>3</sub> and MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> ( $W_{AlAlO_3-MgAlO_{2.5}}^{Brg}$ ) and between MgSiO<sub>3</sub> and MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> ( $W_{MgSiO_3-MgAlO_{2.5}}^{Brg}$ ) using data from the present study, where bridgmanite contains all three components. Finally, we derive the Gibbs free energy of the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> endmember ( $G_{MgAlO_2.5}^{\circ Brg}$ ) using data from Liu, Akaogi, and Katsura (2019). The details of this deduction can be found in Supporting Information S1. The Gibbs free energies of the MgSiO<sub>3</sub> and AlAlO<sub>3</sub> endmembers are already known from the literature (Holland & Powell, 2011).

The interaction parameters of the  $MgSiO_3$  and  $AlAlO_3$  components can be derived using the data from Liu, Nishi, et al. (2017), where bridgmanite coexists only with corundum and both can be treated as binary solid solutions. At equilibrium,

A

$$\frac{\text{IAIO}_3}{\text{Crn}} = \frac{\text{AIAIO}_3}{\text{Brg}}.$$
 (2)

The Gibbs free energy of the reaction can be written as

$$\mu_{\text{AlAIO}_3}^{\text{Crn}} = \mu_{\text{AlAIO}_3}^{\text{Brg}},\tag{3}$$



where  $\mu_{\alpha}^{i}$  [kJ/mol] is the chemical potential of the endmember  $\alpha$  in phase *i*. The abbreviations Crn and Brg denote corundum and bridgmanite, respectively. Using the basics of thermodynamics and symmetric mixing modeling, the Gibbs free energy change of Equation 2 is expressed as

$$\Delta G_{R2} = \mu_{AlAIO_3}^{Brg} - \mu_{AlAIO_3}^{Crn}$$

$$= G_{AlAIO_3}^{\circ Brg} + RTln x_{AlAIO_3}^{Brg} + \left(1 - p_{AlAIO_3}^{Brg}\right)^2 W_{AlAIO_3 - MgSiO_3}^{Brg} - G_{AlAIO_3}^{\circ Crn}$$

$$- RTln x_{AlAIO_3}^{Crn} - \left(1 - p_{AlAIO_3}^{Crn}\right)^2 W_{AlAIO_3 - MgSiO_3}^{Crn},$$
(4)

where  $G_{\alpha}^{\circ i}$  [kJ/mol] is the molar Gibbs free energy of the endmember  $\alpha$  in phase *i* per mol at given pressure (*P*) and temperature (*T*) conditions.  $G_{AlAlO_3}^{\circ Cor}$  and  $G_{AlAlO_3}^{\circ Bri}$  can be found in the literature (Holland & Powell, 2011).  $x_{\alpha}^{i}$  [no unit] is the mole fraction of the  $\alpha$  component in phase *i* accounting for the mixing entropy, and  $\gamma_{\alpha}^{i}$  [no unit] is the activity coefficient resulting from excess enthalpy deviating from ideal mixing.  $p_{\alpha}^{i}$  [no unit] is the proportion of the  $\alpha$  endmember in phase *i* (Powell & Holland, 1993).

By simultaneously minimizing  $\Delta G_{R2}$  at different temperatures using data from Liu, Nishi, et al. (2017), a fitting yields  $W_{AlAlO_3-MgSiO_3}^{Cor} = 49 \pm 16$  kJ/mol and  $W_{AlAlO_3-MgSiO_3}^{Bri} = 26 \pm 3$  kJ/mol. The errors in the fitted interaction parameters arise from errors in the composition of each phase. Namely, we varied the compositions of each phase within their uncertainties and then calculated the corresponding interaction parameters. The reported interaction parameters and errors are the mean values and standard deviations of these calculated parameters. The higher  $W_{AlAlO_3-MgSiO_3}^{Cor}$  value than  $W_{AlAlO_3-MgSiO_3}^{Bri}$  value suggests that  $x_{MgSiO_3}^{Crn}$  should be smaller than  $x_{AlAlO_3}^{Brg}$ , which is opposite to the observations (Table 1). This apparent discrepancy is caused by the smaller  $G_{AlAlO_3}^{\circ Cor}$  than  $G_{AlAlO_3}^{\circ Bri}$ . However, the smaller volume of bridgmanite ( $V_{AlAlO_3}^{Brg}$ ; 25.4 cm<sup>3</sup>/mol based on Holland and Powell (2011)) than corundum ( $V_{AlAlO_3}^{Crn}$ ; 25.58 cm<sup>3</sup>/mol based on Holwal and Powell (2011)) should increase  $G_{AlAlO_3}^{\circ Cor}$  relative to  $G_{AlAlO_3}^{\circ Bri}$ . This increases and decreases  $x_{AlAlO_3}^{Brg}$  and  $x_{MgSiO_3}^{Crn}$ , respectively, which agrees with the pressure dependence of AlAlO<sub>3</sub> in these two phases (Liu, Nishi, et al., 2017).

The interaction parameters  $W_{AlAlO_3-MgAlO_{2,5}}^{Brg}$  and  $W_{MgSiO_3-MgAlO_{2,5}}^{Brg}$  can be derived using the data in the present study where bridgmanite needs to be treated as a ternary system. The deduction follows the same method as above. Because the variation of  $W_{MgSiO_3-MgAlO_{2,5}}^{Brg}$  does not significantly affect the fitting, we fixed it to 0 kJ/mol.  $W_{AlAlO_3-MgAlO_{2,5}}^{Brg} = 104 \pm 12 \text{ kJ/mol}$  gives the best fitting. The errors in the fitted interaction parameters arise from the errors in the composition of each phase. The high  $W_{AlAlO_3-MgAlO_{2,5}}^{Brg}$  value indicates that the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> component significantly suppresses  $x_{MgAlO_{2,5}}^{Brg}$ . This explains why  $x_{MgAlO_{2,5}}^{Brg}$  is low in the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-rich system.

The Gibbs free energy of the bridgmanite  $MgAlO_{2.5}$  endmember ( $G^{\circ Brg}_{MgAlO_{2.5}}$ ) can be derived from Liu, Akaogi, and Katsura (2019) combined with the above-derived interaction parameters. When bridgmanite coexists with periclase, the following reaction can be written:

$$\frac{\text{MgAlO}_{2.5}}{\text{Brg}} = \frac{\text{MgO}}{\text{Per}} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}\text{AlAlO}_3}{\text{Brg}}.$$
(5)

Therefore, the standard-state Gibbs free energy change of Equation 5 is

$$\Delta G_{\rm R5}^{\circ} = \frac{1}{2} G_{\rm AlAIO_3}^{\circ \,\rm Brg} + G_{\rm MgO}^{\circ \,\rm Per} - G_{\rm MgAIO_{2.5}}^{\circ \,\rm Brg} = -RT \ln \left( \frac{a_{\rm MgO}^{\rm Per} a_{\rm AlAIO_3}^{\rm Brg}}{a_{\rm MgAIO_{2.5}}^{\rm Brg}} \right), \tag{6}$$

where  $a_i^j$  [no unit] is the activity of component *i* in phase *j* and is equal to  $x_i^j \cdot \gamma_i^j$ . Expanding the activity terms,

$$G_{\text{MgAIO}_{2.5}}^{\circ \text{Brg}} = \frac{1}{2}G_{\text{AlAIO}_3}^{\circ \text{Brg}} + G_{\text{MgO}}^{\circ \text{Per}} + \text{RTln}x_{\text{MgO}}^{\text{Per}} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\text{RTln}x_{\text{AlAIO}_3}^{\text{Brg}} + \text{RTln}\gamma_{\text{AlAIO}_3}^{\text{Brg}}\right) - \text{RTln}x_{\text{MgAIO}_{2.5}}^{\text{Brg}} - \text{RTln}\gamma_{\text{MgAIO}_{2.5}}^{\text{Brg}}.$$
(7)

 $RT \ln \gamma_{MgO}^{Per}$  is omitted because the periclase is almost purely composed of MgO.  $G_{AlAlO_3}^{\circ Bri}$  and  $G_{MgO}^{\circ Per}$  can be found in Holland and Powell (2011). Using the composition data from Liu, Akaogi, and Katsura (2019), where bridgmanite coexists with the CF-phase and periclase, and the mixing model of bridgmanite from Huang et al. (2021), the



 $G_{MgAIO_{2,5}}^{\circ Brg}$  values at 1700, 2000, and 2400 K are obtained as -993.9(10), -1058.7(5), and -1154.2(12) kJ/mol, respectively. The errors arise from the analytical uncertainty in the phase compositions. By linearly fitting  $G_{MgAIO_{2,5}}^{\circ Brg}$  with the temperature, one obtains  $G_{MgAIO_{2,5}}^{\circ Brg} = -0.229(6)T - 602(13)$  kJ/mol.

To test the robustness of our thermodynamic modeling, we simulated the OV- and CC-content changes in bridgmanite with temperature at a fixed Al pfu (Figure S5A in Supporting Information S2) and their changes with Al pfu at a fixed temperature of 2000 K when bridgmanite coexists with only periclase at 27 GPa (Figure S5B in Supporting Information S2). The fitted curves generally agree with the experimental data at a temperature of 1700 K but deviate slightly at a higher temperature of 2400 K (Figure S5B in Supporting Information S2). This could be due to our assumption that periclase is composed of pure MgO. A certain amount of Al can be accommodated into periclase at high temperatures (Liu, Akaogi, & Katsura, 2019). Equation 5 could go to the left with increasing Al component in periclase because  $\ln \gamma_{MgO}^{Per}$  becomes smaller, resulting a smaller  $G_{MgAlO_{2.5}}^{\circ Brg}$  than the one we actually obtained. More data at higher temperatures are necessary to better constrain the thermodynamic parameters.

#### 4.2. Compositional Changes of the CF-Phase With Temperature

The increase in the  $Al_{8/3}O_4$  and  $Mg_2SiO_4$  components in the CF-phase with temperature (Figures 3c and 3d) can be thermodynamically explained using our data by evaluating the entropy changes of the corresponding equations.

Brg

For the Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> component, the following reaction can be considered:

$$\frac{MgSiO_3}{Brg} + \frac{MgAl_2O_4}{CF} = \frac{Mg_2SiO_4}{CF} + \frac{AlAlO_3}{Brg}.$$
(8)

The standard-state Gibbs free energy change of Equation 8 can then be expressed as

$$\Delta G_{R8}^{\circ} = RT ln \frac{a_{MgSiO_3} a_{MgAl_2O_4}^{F}}{a_{Mg_2SiO_4}^{CF} a_{Mg_2SiO_4}^{F} a_{Al_2O_3}^{Brg}}$$
  
= RT ln  $\frac{x_{MgSiO_3}^{Brg} x_{MgAl_2O_4}^{CF}}{x_{Mg_2SiO_4}^{CF} x_{Al_2O_3}^{CF}} - RT ln \gamma_{Al_2O_3}^{Brg} + RT ln \gamma_{MgSiO_3}^{Brg} + RT ln \gamma_{MgAl_2O_4}^{CF},$  (9a)

~CF

where

$$\Delta G_{R8}^{\circ} = G_{Mg_2SiO_4}^{\circ CF} + G_{A|AlO_3}^{\circ Brg} - G_{MgAl_2O_4}^{\circ CF} - G_{MgSiO_3}^{\circ Brg}.$$
(9b)

Because of the coupled substitution, we assume the molecular mixing model (Powell, 1978) for the CF-phase. For bridgmanite,

$$\sum_{MgSiO_3}^{Brg} = x_{Mg,A} x_{Si,B}.$$
 (10)

Here,  $x_{Si,B}^{Brg}$  is the mole fraction of Si on the B site. The expression of the RTln $\gamma$  terms have the form of Equation (S10) in Supporting Information S1. The interaction parameters of bridgmanite are derived in Section 4.1.

According to Davies and Navrotsky (1983), the interaction parameter of the CF-phase,  $W_{A-R}^{CF}$ , is assumed to be

$$W_{\rm A-B}^{\rm CF} = 100.8\Delta V - 0.4\,\rm kJ/mol,$$
 (11)

where

$$\Delta V = \frac{V_{\rm A} - V_{\rm B}}{(V_{\rm A} + V_{\rm B})/2}.$$
(12)

Here,  $V_{\rm A}$  and  $V_{\rm B}$  are the molar volumes of the larger and smaller components, respectively. We then find that  $W_{\rm Mg_2SiO_4-Al_{8/3}O_4}^{\rm CF}$ ,  $W_{\rm Mg_2SiO_4-MgAl_2O_4}^{\rm CF}$ , and  $W_{\rm Al_{8/3}O_4-MgAl_2O_4}^{\rm CF}$  are 3.5, 0.1, and 3.0 kJ/mol, respectively. As a result,  $\Delta G_{\rm R8}^{\circ}$ 





**Figure 4.** Contents of oxygen-vacancy (red) and charge-coupled (black) in bridgmanite coexisting with an additional Al-bearing phase with different Al pfu values in bridgmanite. The squares, triangles, and circles indicate data from Liu, Akaogi, and Katsura (2019), where bridgmanite coexists with the CF-phase and periclase, from Liu, Ballaran, et al. (2019), where bridgmanite coexists with the CF-phase and periclase, and from our study, respectively.

can be calculated using Equation 9a and is found to decrease from 26(2) to 8(1) kJ/mol from 2000 to 2600 K (Figure S6 in Supporting Information S2 and Table S1). The errors in  $\Delta G_{R8}^{\circ}$  arise from the analytical uncertainty in the phase compositions. Accordingly,  $\Delta S_{R8}^{\circ} = -\left(\frac{\partial \Delta G_{R8}^{\circ}}{\partial T}\right)_{P} = 27 \pm 5 \text{ J/mol/K}$ , where the error arises from the linear fitting itself. The positive entropy change of Equation 8 explains the increase in the Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> content in the CF-phase with temperature (Figure S6 in Supporting Information S2).

The increase in the AlAlO<sub>3</sub> content in the CF-phase can be explained by the reaction

$$\frac{\text{AlAlO}_3}{\text{Crn}} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{\text{Al}_{8/3} \text{O}_4}{\text{CF}}.$$
 (13)

The standard-state Gibbs free energy change of Equation 13 can then be expressed as

$$\Delta G_{R13}^{\circ} = RTln \frac{a_{AlAO_{3}}^{Crn}}{(a_{Al_{8}O_{4}}^{CF})^{\frac{3}{4}}}$$

$$= RTln \frac{x_{AlAO_{3}}^{Crn}}{(x_{Al_{8}O_{4}}^{CF})^{\frac{3}{4}}} - \frac{3}{4}RTln\gamma_{Al_{8/3}O_{4}}^{CF} + RTln\gamma_{AlAIO_{3}}^{Crn},$$
(14a)

where

$$\Delta G_{\rm R13}^{\circ} = \frac{3}{4} G_{\rm Al_{8/3}O_4}^{\circ \,\rm CF} - G_{\rm AlAIO_3}^{\circ \,\rm Crn}.$$
(14b)

Assuming the molecular mixing model for the Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component in the CF-phase,  $\Delta G_{R13}^{\circ}$  decreases from 31(7) kJ/mol to 27(1) kJ/mol from 2000 to 2600 K (Figure S6 in Supporting Information S2 and Table S1). Accordingly,  $\Delta S_{R13}^{\circ} = -\left(\frac{\partial \Delta G_{R21}^{\circ}}{\partial T}\right)_{P} = 6 \pm 2 \text{ J/mol/K}$ , indicating that higher temperatures favor the Al<sub>8/3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component in the CF-phase.

#### 4.3. Aluminum Substitution Mechanism in Bridgmanite

Our observation that the increase in the Al pfu in bridgmanite only affects its AlAlO<sub>3</sub> content (Figure 4) indicates that the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> component has reached actual "saturation" when the Al pfu in bridgmanite reaches a particular value. The Al pfu in bridgmanite is determined by the activity of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the system  $(a_{Al_2O_3}^{system})$ , namely, the coexisting phases. When  $a_{Al_2O_3}^{system}$  is low, bridgmanite coexists with periclase and is the only reservoir of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Under such circumstances (Al pfu < 0.12), referred to as stage I here, the AlAlO<sub>3</sub> and MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> contents increase with increasing Al pfu (Liu, Akaogi, & Katsura, 2019). Further increases in  $a_{Al_2O_3}^{system}$  produce another Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-host phase, that is, the CF-phase (Liu, Akaogi & Katsura, 2019; Liu, Ballaran, et al., 2019). Under such circumstances, referred to as stage II, the increase in the Al pfu in bridgmanite only slightly increases the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> content but significantly increases the bridgmanite AlAlO<sub>3</sub> content. With further increases in  $a_{Al_2O_3}^{system}$ , referred to as stage III, the coexisting Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> phase changes to corundum and the CF-phase while the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> content remains nearly constant. Note that the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> contents in bridgmanite are essentially identical within the error in stages II and III (Figure 4). We conclude that the MgAlO<sub>2.5</sub> content reaches the solubility limit of 3–4 mol% once the Al pfu is higher than 0.12, where bridgmanite coexists with the Al-bearing phases (Figure 4).

The nearly constant  $MgAlO_{2.5}$  content in bridgmanite coexisting with the CF-phase can be explained by Equation 8. Equation 8 suggests that the reacted amount of the  $MgSiO_3$  component is equal to the produced  $AlAlO_3$  component in the bridgmanite. If the produced  $AlAlO_3$  is solely accommodated by bridgmanite, its  $MgAlO_{2.5}$  content should remain constant. When bridgmanite does not coexist with corundum but coexists with only the

21699356, 2023, 1, Downlo

loi/10.1029/2022JB025739 by Uni

Wiley Online Library on [03/11/2023]

CF-phase, a small amount of  $AlAlO_3$  can be dissolved by the CF-phase, leading to a small increase in  $MgAlO_{2.5}$  in the bridgmanite (Liu, Ballaran, et al., 2019). When bridgmanite coexists with corundum, the  $AlAlO_3$  in the CF-phase can be supplied by corundum (Equation 13). Therefore, the  $MgAlO_{2.5}$  content in the bridgmanite remains unchanged (Figure 4).

Our results demonstrate that the CC content is always larger than the OV content in bridgmanite when it coexists with an Al-bearing phase, regardless of the temperature. This indicates that the CC component is the dominant Al-bearing component in bridgmanite in slabs. Because the CC substitution mechanism has only a mild effect on the bridgmanite elasticity (Walter et al., 2004, 2006), Al substitution in bridgmanite should affect only slightly the elastic properties of bridgmanite and, accordingly, the seismic wave velocity in slabs.

## 5. Implications

#### 5.1. Noble Gas Storage Ability of Bridgmanite and the CF-Phase in Subducting Slabs

Even though the system investigated in this study is  $Al_2O_3$  rich and cannot be directly compared to mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB; Sun et al., 1979) or pyrolite (Sun, 1982), some local regions in subducting slabs could have the phase assemblage found in the present system. Some eclogites (Janák et al., 2012) contain the garnet + corundum + spinel phase assemblage, which should transform to bridgmanite + corundum + CF-phase under lower-mantle conditions. In such environments, bridgmanite should contain an OV component of up to 4 mol%. Because argon could be stored in bridgmanite oxygen vacancies (Shcheka & Keppler, 2012), the presence of the OV component could provide a possible mechanism for argon storage in bridgmanite. In fact, an OV component of 4 mol% can accommodate 0.8 wt.% argon, which is close to the experimentally observed solubility (Shcheka & Keppler, 2012).

The CF-phase could play a more critical role in transferring noble gases into the deep mantle than bridgmanite because the CF-phase can contain significant amounts of the  $Al_{8/3}O_4$  component, introducing vacancies in the structure. If the sizes of the vacancies are comparable to the radii of noble gases, the  $Al_{8/3}O_4$ -bearing CF-phase could be an important reservoir of noble gas in the lower mantle. Further studies on the structure of such a CF-phase and its noble gas solubility are needed to test this hypothesis.

#### 5.2. Implication of Oceanic Crust Separation From the Lithosphere in the Lower Mantle

The accumulation of basaltic crusts at the core–mantle boundary (CMB) has been proposed as an explanation for the large low-shear-wave provinces (Jones et al., 2020). Basaltic crusts are denser than the ambient mantle below 720 km (Ishii et al., 2022). If the basaltic layer separates from the underlying lithosphere in the slab, this density contrast would lead crustal materials to sink down to the CMB. Weak cubic CaSiO<sub>3</sub> is one possible separation mechanism. However, basaltic crusts contain not only davemaoite but also large portions of bridgmanite and the CF-phase. Our results could provide some hints concerning the strength of bridgmanite and the CF-phase from the viewpoint of crystal defects.

The mineral strength is controlled by the diffusion of the slowest species (e.g., Herring, 1950). Accordingly, increasing vacancy concentration is expected to decrease the mineral strength. Our results demonstrate that the OV content in bridgmanite coexisting with the CF-phase is approximately 3 mol% at the top of the lower mantle. Even though the bridgmanite in the surrounding upper lower mantle can contain up to 7 mol% OV content because it coexists with periclase (Liu, Akaogi & Katsura, 2019; Liu, Ballaran, et al., 2019), this difference decreases with depth (Liu, Ishii, & Katsura, 2017). Therefore, the strength of bridgmanite in the basaltic layer is more or less similar to that in the surrounding mantle at greater depth.

Conversely, the CF-phase could be very soft. The CF-phase becomes a major phase in the MORB layer after 26 GPa. Our results demonstrate that significant numbers of vacancies can exist in the CF-phase, implying that the CF-phase could be very soft. Combined with the soft cubic  $CaSiO_3$ , the strength of the MORB layer is expected to be much lower than that of the surrounding mantle, which primarily consists of bridgmanite and ferropericlase. This viscosity contrast makes it easy for the oceanic crust to be peeled off the underlying lithosphere by shear forces.



The above hypothesis is based on qualitative observations. To constrain the strength of oceanic crusts, deformation experiments on the CF-phase and MORB mineral aggregates are necessary. In addition, the incorporation of Na and K into the CF-phase, as well as into bridgmanite, could change the vacancy concentrations in the CF-phase and the bridgmanite. Further studies of more realistic systems are therefore needed to illustrate slab dynamics.

# **Data Availability Statement**

All the data are listed in the manuscript and the online supporting information. The EPMA and XRD data set for this research are given in the Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7512647).

#### References

- Andrault, D., Bolfan-Casanova, N., Bouhifd, M. A., Guignot, N., & Kawamoto, T. (2007). The role of Al-defects on the equation of state of Al-(Mg, Fe)SiO, perovskite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 263(3–4), 167–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.08.012
- Andrault, D., Bolfan-Casanova, N., & Guignot, N. (2001). Equation of state of lower mantle (AI, Fe)-MgSiO3 perovskite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 193(3–4), 501–508. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(01)00506-4
- Ballaran, T. B., Kurnosov, A., Glazyrin, K., Frost, D. J., Merlini, M., Hanfland, M., & Caracas, R. (2012). Effect of chemistry on the compressibility of silicate perovskite in the lower mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 333, 181–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eps1.2012.03.029
- Brodholt, J. P. (2000). Pressure-induced changes in the compression mechanism of aluminous perovskite in the Earth's mantle. *Nature*, 407(6804), 620–622. https://doi.org/10.1038/35036565
- d'Amour, H., Schiferl, D., Denner, W., Schulz, H., & Holzapfel, W. (1978). High-pressure single-crystal structure determinations for ruby up to 90 kbar using an automatic diffractometer. *Journal of Applied Physics*, *49*(8), 4411–4416. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.325494
- Daniel, I., Bass, J. D., Fiquet, G., Cardon, H., Zhang, J., & Hanfland, M. (2004). Effect of aluminium on the compressibility of silicate perovskite. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(15), L15608. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004gl020213
- Davies, P., & Navrotsky, A. (1983). Quantitative correlations of deviations from ideality in binary and pseudobinary solid solutions. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*, 46(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4596(83)90122-6
- Fei, H., Liu, Z., Huang, R., Kamada, S., Hirao, N., Kawaguchi, S., et al. (2021). Pressure destabilizes oxygen vacancies in bridgmanite. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 126(12), e2021JB022437. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021jb022437
- Fei, H., Liu, Z., McCammon, C., & Katsura, T. (2020). Oxygen vacancy substitution linked to ferric iron in bridgmanite at 27 GPa. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(6), e2019GL086296. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019gl086296
- Frost, D. J., & Langenhorst, F. (2002). The effect of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on Fe–Mg partitioning between magnesiowüstite and magnesium silicate perovskite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 199(1–2), 227–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(02)00558-7
- Frost, D. J., Liebske, C., Langenhorst, F., McCammon, C. A., Trønnes, R. G., & Rubie, D. C. (2004). Experimental evidence for the existence of iron-rich metal in the Earth's lower mantle. *Nature*, 428(6981), 409–412. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02413
- Fu, S., Yang, J., Karato, S. I., Vasiliev, A., Presniakov, M. Y., Gavriliuk, A. G., et al. (2019). Water concentration in single-crystal (AI, Fe)bearing bridgmanite grown from the hydrous melt: Implications for dehydration melting at the topmost lower mantle. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(17–18), 10346–10357. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019gl084630
- Grüninger, H., Liu, Z., Siegel, R., Boffa Ballaran, T., Katsura, T., Senker, J., & Frost, D. J. (2019). Oxygen vacancy ordering in aluminous bridgmanite in the Earth's lower mantle. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(15), 8731–8740. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019g1083613
- Herring, C. (1950). Diffusional viscosity of a polycrystalline solid. Journal of Applied Physics, 21(5), 437–445. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1699681
  Hirsch, L., & Shankland, T. (1991). Point defects in (Mg, Fe)SiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite. Geophysical Research Letters, 18(7), 1305–1308. https://doi.org/10.1029/91g101582
- Holland, T., & Powell, R. (2011). An improved and extended internally consistent thermodynamic dataset for phases of petrological interest, involving a new equation of state for solids. *Journal of Metamorphic Geology*, 29(3), 333–383. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2010.00923.x
- Horiuchi, H., Ito, E., & Weidner, D. J. (1987). Perovskite-type MgSiO<sub>3</sub>; single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. American Mineralogist, 72(3–4), 357–360.
- Huang, R., Ballaran, T. B., McCammon, C. A., Miyajima, N., Dolejš, D., & Frost, D. J. (2021). The composition and redox state of bridgmanite in the lower mantle as a function of oxygen fugacity. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 303, 110–136.
- Irifune, T., Koizumi, T., & Ando, J.-I. (1996). An experimental study of the garnet-perovskite transformation in the system MgSiO<sub>3</sub>–Mg<sub>3</sub>Al-2Si<sub>3</sub>O<sub>12</sub>. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 96(2–3), 147–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(96)03147-0
- Irifune, T., & Ringwood, A. (1993). Phase transformations in subducted oceanic crust and buoyancy relationships at depths of 600–800 km in the mantle. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 117(1–2), 101–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(93)90120-x
- Ishii, T., Liu, Z., & Katsura, T. (2019). A breakthrough in pressure generation by a Kawai-type multi-anvil apparatus with tungsten carbide anvils. *Engineering*, 5(3), 434–440. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eng.2019.01.013
- Ishii, T., Miyajima, N., Criniti, G., Hu, Q., Glazyrin, K., & Katsura, T. (2022). High pressure-temperature phase relations of basaltic crust up to mid-mantle conditions. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 584, 117472. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2022.117472
- Ishii, T., Shi, L., Huang, R., Tsujino, N., Druzhbin, D., Myhill, R., et al. (2016). Generation of pressures over 40 GPa using Kawai-type multianvil press with tungsten carbide anvils. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 87(2), 024501. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4941716
- Ito, E., Kubo, A., Katsura, T., Akaogi, M., & Fujita, T. (1998). High-pressure transformation of pyrope (Mg<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>O<sub>12</sub>) in a sintered diamond cubic anvil assembly. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(6), 821–824. https://doi.org/10.1029/98gl00519
- Ito, E., & Matsui, Y. (1978). Synthesis and crystal-chemical characterization of MgSiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 38(2), 443–450. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(78)90119-x
- Jackson, J. M., Zhang, J., & Bass, J. D. (2004). Sound velocities and elasticity of aluminous MgSiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite: Implications for aluminum heterogeneity in Earth's lower mantle. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *31*(10), L10614. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004gl019918
- Janák, M., Ravna, E., & Kullerud, K. (2012). Constraining peak P–T conditions in UHP eclogites: Calculated phase equilibria in kyanite-and phengite-bearing eclogite of the Tromsø Nappe, Norway. *Journal of Metamorphic Geology*, 30(4), 377–396. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2011.00971.x

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank E. Bullock for her technical assistance in the electron microprobe analysis and H. Fischer and S. Übelhack for their assistance with the high-pressure assembly preparation. We also thank Y. Fei, H. Fei, and D. Frost for their discussions. This project received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Proposal No. 787 527) and was partially supported by the cooperative research program of the Earthquake Research Institute, Tokyo, and a JSPS KAKENHI Grant (number JP18K03799) to S. K. We thank Martha Evonuk, PhD, from Evonuk Scientific Editing (http://evonukscientificediting, com) for editing the submitted manuscript. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.



- Jones, T. D., Maguire, R. R., van Keken, P. E., Ritsema, J., & Koelemeijer, P. (2020). Subducted oceanic crust as the origin of seismically slow lower-mantle structures. *Progress in Earth and Planetary Science*, 7(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-020-00327-1
- Koizumi, S., Hiraga, T., Tachibana, C., Tasaka, M., Miyazaki, T., Kobayashi, T., et al. (2010). Synthesis of highly dense and fine-grained aggregates of mantle composites by vacuum sintering of nano-sized mineral powders. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, 37(8), 505–518. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00269-009-0350-y
- Kojitani, H., Hisatomi, R., & Akaogi, M. (2007). High-pressure phase relations and crystal chemistry of calcium ferrite-type solid solutions in the system MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. American Mineralogist, 92(7), 1112–1118. https://doi.org/10.2138/am.2007.2255
- Kojitani, H., Katsura, T., & Akaogi, M. (2007). Aluminum substitution mechanisms in perovskite-type MgSiO<sub>3</sub>: An investigation by Rietveld analysis. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, 34(4), 257–267. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00269-007-0144-z
- Kubo, A., & Akaogi, M. (2000). Post-garnet transitions in the system Mg<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>–Mg<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>O<sub>12</sub> up to 28 GPa: Phase relations of garnet, ilmenite and perovskite. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 121(1–2), 85–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0031-9201(00)00162-x Larson, A. C., & Von Dreele, R. B. (1994). Gsas. Report IAUR. (pp. 86–748).
- Liu, Z., Akaogi, M., & Katsura, T. (2019). Increase of the oxygen vacancy component in bridgmanite with temperature. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 505, 141–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.10.014
- Liu, Z., Ballaran, T. B., Huang, R., Frost, D. J., & Katsura, T. (2019). Strong correlation of oxygen vacancies in bridgmanite with Mg/Si ratio. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 523, 115697. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.06.037
- Liu, Z., Ishii, T., & Katsura, T. (2017a). Rapid decrease of MgAIO<sub>2.5</sub> component in bridgmanite with pressure. *Geochemical Perspectives Letters*, 5, 12–18. https://doi.org/10.7185/geochemlet.1739
- Liu, Z., McCammon, C., Wang, B., Dubrovinsky, L., Ishii, T., Bondar, D., et al. (2020). Stability and solubility of the FeAlO<sub>3</sub> component in bridgmanite at uppermost lower mantle conditions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 125(2), e2019JB018447. https://doi. org/10.1029/2019jb018447
- Liu, Z., Nishi, M., Ishii, T., Fei, H., Miyajima, N., Ballaran, T. B., et al. (2017). Phase relations in the system MgSiO<sub>3</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> up to 2300 K at lower mantle pressures. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 122(10), 7775–7788. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JB014579
- McCammon, C. (1997). Perovskite as a possible sink for ferric iron in the lower mantle. *Nature*, 387(6634), 694–696. https://doi.org/10.1038/42685
  Murakami, M., Hirose, K., Yurimoto, H., Nakashima, S., & Takafuji, N. (2002). Water in Earth's lower mantle. *Science*, 295(5561), 1885–1887. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1065998
- Navrotsky, A. (1999). A lesson from ceramics. Science, 284(5421), 1788-1789. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5421.1788
- Navrotsky, A., Schoenitz, M., Kojitani, H., Xu, H., Zhang, J., Weidner, D. J., & Jeanloz, R. (2003). Aluminum in magnesium silicate perovskite: Formation, structure, and energetics of magnesium-rich defect solid solutions. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(B7), 2330. https://doi. org/10.1029/2002JB002055
- Panero, W. R., Akber-Knutson, S., & Stixrude, L. (2006). Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> incorporation in MgSiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite and ilmenite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 252(1–2), 152–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.09.036
- Powell, R. (1978). Equilibrium thermodynamics in petrology: An introduction. Harper & Row.
- Powell, R., & Holland, T. (1993). On the formulation of simple mixing models for complex phases. *American Mineralogist*, 78(11–12), 1174–1180.
- Shcheka, S. S., & Keppler, H. (2012). The origin of the terrestrial noble-gas signature. Nature, 490(7421), 531–534. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature11506
- Sun, S.-S. (1982). Chemical composition and origin of the Earth's primitive mantle. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 46(2), 179–192. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(82)90245-9
- Sun, S.-S., Nesbitt, R. W., & Sharaskin, A. Y. (1979). Geochemical characteristics of mid-ocean ridge basalts. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 44(1), 119–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(79)90013-x
- Toby, B. H. (2001). EXPGUI, a graphical user interface for GSAS. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 34(2), 210–213. https://doi.org/10. 1107/s0021889801002242
- Vanpeteghem, C. B., Angel, R., Ross, N., Jacobsen, S., Dobson, D., Litasov, K., & Ohtani, E. (2006). Al, Fe substitution in the MgSiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite structure: A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 155(1–2), 96–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. pepi.2005.10.003
- Walter, M. J., Kubo, A., Yoshino, T., Brodholt, J., Koga, K., & Ohishi, Y. (2004). Phase relations and equation-of-state of aluminous Mg-silicate perovskite and implications for Earth's lower mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 222(2), 501–516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. epsl.2004.03.014
- Walter, M. J., Trønnes, R. G., Armstrong, L. S., Lord, O. T., Caldwell, W. A., & Clark, S. M. (2006). Subsolidus phase relations and perovskite compressibility in the system MgO–AlO<sub>1.5</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> with implications for Earth's lower mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 248(1–2), 77–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.05.017
- Weng, K., Mao, H. K., & Bell, P. M. (1981). Lattice parameters of the perovskite phase in the system MgSiO<sub>3</sub>-CaSiO<sub>3</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Vol. 81, pp. 273–277). Carnegie Institute Washington: Year Book.
- Xu, Y., McCammon, C., & Poe, B. T. (1998). The effect of alumina on the electrical conductivity of silicate perovskite. *Science*, 282(5390), 922–924. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5390.922
- Yagi, T., Okabe, K., Nishiyama, N., Kubo, A., & Kikegawa, T. (2004). Complicated effects of aluminum on the compressibility of silicate perovskite. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 143, 81–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2003.07.020
- Yamada, H., Matsui, Y., & Ito, E. (1983). Crystal-chemical characterization of NaAlSiO<sub>4</sub> with the CaFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> structure. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 47(343), 177–181. https://doi.org/10.1180/minmag.1983.047.343.07
- Yamamoto, T., Yuen, D. A., & Ebisuzaki, T. (2003). Substitution mechanism of Al ions in MgSiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite under high pressure conditions from first-principles calculations. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 206(3–4), 617–625. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(02)01099-3
- Zhang, J., & Weidner, D. J. (1999). Thermal equation of state of aluminum-enriched silicate perovskite. *Science*, 284(5415), 782–784. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5415.782