1. Introduction

The mantle transition zone is considered to be a water reservoir because its dominant minerals, wadsleyite, and ringwoodite, contain up to \( \sim 1.0 \) wt.% based on several lines of evidence, including electrical conductivity (Kelbert et al., 2009), mineral viscosity (Fei et al., 2017), and naturally formed water-rich ringwoodite inclusion (Pearson et al., 2014). In contrast, the lower mantle is considered to be dry (Hirschmann, 2006) because bridgmanite and ferropericlase can contain \( \leq 0.1 \) wt.% water in their crystal structures (e.g., Bolfan-Casanova et al., 2000; Fu et al., 2019). Dehydration melting should therefore occur during the phase transformation of hydrous ringwoodite to bridgmanite and ferropericlase by mass convection when crossing the 660-km boundary (Schmandt et al., 2014). Such a dehydration melting layer has been interpreted to explain the seismic velocity reduction at the topmost lower mantle (Schmandt et al., 2014).

The question arises regarding whether this melting layer is stabilized at 660-km depth or gravitationally unstable. This problem should be determined by the melt viscosity, wetting of mineral grain-boundaries, and most importantly density because the density contrast among the transition zone, lower mantle, and hydrous melt is the driving force for upward or downward melt migration. Melt density is known to decrease with increasing melt Ho2Cmelt (e.g., Matsukage et al., 2005; Sakamaki et al., 2006). Therefore, although dry melt \([4.0–4.4 \text{ g/cm}^3\text{ after correction to 23 GPa and 1600–2000 K (Bajgain et al., 2015; Ohtani & Maeda, 2001; Sanloup et al., 2013)}]\) is denser than the mantle transition zone \([3.9–4.0 \text{ g/cm}^3\text{ (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981)}]\), hydrous melt could be either comparable or less dense depending on its \( C_{H_2O}^{\text{melt}} \). Understanding \( C_{H_2O}^{\text{melt}} \) at 660-km depth is therefore essential for evaluating its density and stability.

© 2020. The Authors.
This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
Nakajima et al. (2019) reported $C_{H_2O}^{melt} \approx 30$ wt.% at 660-km depth conditions and no clear temperature effect. However, $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ is difficult to calculate precisely using mass balance owing to the small melt fractions in their samples. Their $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ values were obtained as the deviation from 100% of the total weight percent in the energy dispersive spectrometry analysis, which may contain large uncertainties because the weight percent of quenched melt is correlated not only with $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ but also porosity and $Fe^3+/ΣFe$, both of which are highly uncertain. Additionally, the compositional dependence of $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ is also unclear.

In this study, $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ of hydrous silicate melt using multi-anvil experiments at 1600–2300 K and 23–23.5 GPa by mass balance calculation was estimated. To minimize experimental uncertainty, samples with a simple system (MgO-SiO$_2$-H$_2$O-FeO) and high melt fraction (>20 vol.%) were used; the melt consequentially coexists with minimized solid phases (majorly ringwoodite and bridgmanite). The results indicate that $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ systematically decreases with increasing temperature, but is relatively insensitive to FeO and SiO$_2$ contents and coexisting phases.

2. Methods

2.1. High-Pressure Experiments

Mixtures with bulk compositions of (Mg,Fe)$_2$SiO$_4$ and (Mg,Fe)SiO$_3$ plus 5–22 wt.% H$_2$O (Table 1) were prepared from MgO, SiO$_2$, Mg(OH)$_2$, and FeO powders. After drying in a vacuum furnace at 400 K, the mixtures were sealed in Pt-Rh or Pt capsules by arc-welding (Fei & Katsura, 2020). The inner and outer diameters (ID/OD) of the Pt-Rh capsules were 1.0/1.2 mm for the 23 GPa runs, whereas Pt capsules with ID/OD = 0.8/1.0 mm were used for the 23.5 GPa runs. The high-pressure experiments were performed using a Kawai-type multi-anvil apparatus with standard 10/4 and 7/3 cell assemblies at the Bayerisches Geoinstitut (supporting information). The experimental temperatures ranged between 1600 and 2300 K, measured by a D-type (W/Re) thermocouple, with annealing durations of 5–1800 min (Table 1).

Cross sections parallel to the axial directions of the capsules were prepared by polishing with sandpaper and diamond powder. The run products appear as coexisting crystals (majorly bridgmanite and ringwoodite) and quenched crystallized melts (Table 1), as confirmed by scanning electron microscope (SEM) and microfocus X-ray diffraction analyses (Figure 1). The compositions of the melts were analyzed by electron microprobe (EPMA) operated with an acceleration voltage of 15 kV, beam current of 15 nA, beam size of 30–50 μm, and counting time of 20 s. The (Mg + Fe)/Si and Fe/(Fe + Mg) atomic ratios in the melts, which reflect the SiO$_2$ and FeO concentrations, respectively, were calculated from the EPMA data (supporting information).

2.2. Melt Fraction and $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ Estimation

The melt and solid fractions were obtained from the SEM images using image processing software (ImageJ). Images of all recovered capsules are provided in the supporting information. The $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ values were calculated by mass balance using the initial bulk water content in the starting materials, water content in ringwoodite (Fei & Katsura, 2020), and fractions of melts and solids. The water content in bridgmanite (and akimotoite) is negligible in comparison with ringwoodite and melt (Bolfan-Casanova et al., 2000; Fu et al., 2019) and therefore has a negligible effect on $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$, although the water solubility in bridgmanite remains under debate (e.g., Fu et al., 2019; Litasov et al., 2002).

2.3. Experimental Uncertainty Evaluation

A critical assumption in the above mass balance calculation is no water loss during the experiments. To examine if this assumption is reasonable or not, information regarding how the bulk water content in the capsule changes with time is required. A time series was therefore performed under the same pressure and temperature conditions (23 GPa, 2000 K) with the same starting material (Fo$_{90}$ + 15%H$_2$O), but different durations (5–1800 min). The melt fraction in the run products, which is controlled by the bulk water content,
Table 1  
List of Run Conditions, Melt Fractions, $C_{H_2O}^{\text{melt}}$, and Characterization of the Run Products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starting material</th>
<th>Bulk composition$^a$</th>
<th>H$_2$O content (%)</th>
<th>Run. No.</th>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$P$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$t$ (min)</th>
<th>Phases</th>
<th>Melt fraction (vol.%)</th>
<th>$C_{H_2O}^{\text{melt}}$ (wt.%)</th>
<th>$C_{H_2O}^{\text{melt}}$ in Rw (wt.%)</th>
<th>$C_{H_2O}^{\text{partition}}$ coefficient (melt/rw.)</th>
<th>$\frac{\text{Fe}}{(\text{Mg} + \text{Fe})}$ in melt</th>
<th>$\frac{\text{Fe}}{(\text{Mg} + \text{Fe})}$ in melt</th>
<th>$\frac{\text{Mg}}{(\text{Mg} + \text{Fe})}$ in melt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Fo}_{100} + 5% \text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>$100(\text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4) + 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>H4775</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Rw + melt</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.35 (18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Fo}_{100} + 15% \text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>$100(\text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4) + 15\text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>H4805</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Rw + melt</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.89 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Fo}_{90} + 5% \text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>$100(\text{Mg}<em>{0.8}\text{Fe}</em>{0.2}\text{SiO}_4) + 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>H4711</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Rw + Aki + melt</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>18.4 (7)</td>
<td>1.98 (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Fo}_{90} + 15% \text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>$100(\text{Mg}<em>{0.8}\text{Fe}</em>{0.2}\text{SiO}_4) + 15\text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>H4698</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Rw + Aki + melt</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>12.9 (2)</td>
<td>1.96 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{S}_{70}^{11}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4723</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Rw + Aki + melt</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>14.5 (4)</td>
<td>2.09 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{S}_{75}^{11}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4720</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Rw + Aki + melt + st</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>20.7 (5)</td>
<td>3.20 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_{47}^{23}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4723</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Rw + Aki + melt</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>15.8 (3)</td>
<td>2.50 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_{47}^{20}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4720</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Rw + Aki + melt + st</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>20.7 (5)</td>
<td>3.20 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_{47}^{23}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4723</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Rw + Aki + melt</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>15.8 (3)</td>
<td>2.50 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_{47}^{20}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4720</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Rw + Aki + melt + st</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>20.7 (5)</td>
<td>3.20 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_{47}^{23}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4723</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Rw + Aki + melt</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>15.8 (3)</td>
<td>2.50 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_{47}^{20}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4720</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Rw + Aki + melt + st</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>20.7 (5)</td>
<td>3.20 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bdg, bridgmanite; Rw, ringwoodite; Aki, akimotoite; St, stishovite; $\text{Fo}_{100}$, $\text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4$; $\text{Fo}_{90}$, $\text{Mg}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{SiO}_4$; $\text{Fo}_{75}$, $\text{Mg}_{1.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{SiO}_4$; $\text{En}_{90}$, $\text{Mg}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{SiO}_3$.

$^a$The starting materials are mixtures of MgO, SiO$_2$, FeO, and Mg(OH)$_2$ with a bulk composition of 100 wt.% silicate plus 5–22 wt.% H$_2$O. The number of each component in this column indicates the weight ratio. For example, $100(\text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4) + 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ means that the weight ratio of Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ and H$_2$O is 100 : 5 and is therefore named $\text{Fo}_{100} + 5\% \text{H}_2\text{O}$ with bulk water content of $\frac{5}{(100 + 5)} = 4.76\%$.

$^b$The temperature in this run is estimated from the power-temperature relationship.

$^c$The $C_{H_2O}^{\text{melt}}$ in ringwoodite data are reported in Fei and Katsura (2020).
is found to be independent of duration (Figure 2a), which indicates that water loss was insignificant. This is understandable because hydrogen may diffuse through Pt capsule walls in the form of H₂, but not as hydroxyl or H₂O (e.g., Eugster, 1957; Shaw, 1963), which is the case in this study. Although H₂O could be partially reduced to H₂ by Fe²⁺ in the Fe-bearing runs, even if all the Fe²⁺ were oxidized to Fe³⁺, the amount of H₂O reduced to H₂ would be very small (e.g., the bulk water content would decrease from 13.0% to 12.1% in the Fo₉₀ + 15%H₂O system).

Some may consider that a time series over a duration of 5–1,800 min does not indicate the extent water loss during the initial 5 min. However, if water loss had occurred, the loss rate should be controlled by the water fugacity contrast in and out of the capsule. As far as the melt phase presents, the water fugacity is constant and the loss rate should thus be constant. Therefore, if water loss were significant within the first 5 min, the melt phase should not be present over long-duration runs, which is clearly not the case in this study.

Another error source is the uncertainty of the melt fraction estimation. To minimize this uncertainty, (i) all capsules were polished to nearly the center; therefore, the melt fraction in the cross section most likely represents the entire capsule; (ii) only runs with high melt fractions (≥20%) were used; and (iii) the melt fractions based on SEM images were independently examined by mass balance calculations of MgO and SiO₂ concentrations in the solids and melts (Tables S1 and S2). As shown in Figures 2a and 2b, the melt fractions estimated by different methods follow the same trend and agree well with each other. The uncertainty of the melt fraction estimation is therefore limited.

Additional potential error sources include the following: (i) Uncertainty of the water content in solid phases. This should be negligible because the majority of water (>90%) within the capsule is stored by melt.
(ii) Water gain during sample preparation. The sample powder may absorb moisture from the air, but this amount (<<0.5 wt.%) is small in comparison with the bulk $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ in the starting materials. (iii) Pressure and temperature uncertainties. The appearance of both Fe-free and Fe-bearing ringwoodite at 2000 K and the coexistence of ringwoodite and bridgmanite in H4891 indicate a pressure uncertainty of less than 1.5 GPa (Presnall, 1995). The temperature gradient in a typical multi-anvil experiment is ~100 K/mm (Hernlund et al., 2006). Considering the capsule lengths of 1.2–1.3 mm located at the center, the temperature uncertainty in this study should be less than 70 K. Because the magnitude of each error source is unknown, it is impossible to quantitatively evaluate the error bar for each data point. Nevertheless, the systematic variation of $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ with temperature and reproducible $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ from different runs demonstrate that the uncertainty of $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ is relatively small, which should be within the scatter range of the data points.

3. Melt Fraction and $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ in the Run Products

The $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ values based on mass balance calculations do not show a clear correlation with the total mass from the EPMA analysis (supporting information), which is not surprising because, as explained in Section 1, the $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ estimated from the EPMA analysis is likely highly uncertain. Therefore, the melt fraction and $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ based on mass balance calculation are plotted in Figure 2 as functions of run duration (Figure 2a), starting material (Figures 2b and 2c), temperature (Figure 2d), and melt composition (Figures 2e and 2f). The melt fraction increases with increasing initial water content in the starting material, but $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ remains unchanged (Figures 2b–2d). This is reasonable because the water partition coefficient between melt and solid should be constant at a given temperature. As shown in Table 1, the partition coefficient is nearly constant (18–25) at 2000 K even with various starting compositions (except runs H4711 and H4775, in which ringwoodite has a lower water content than the other runs because of different SiO$_2$-activity conditions [Fei & Katsura, 2020]).

$C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ clearly decreases with increasing temperature, but shows no meaningful dependence of (Mg + Fe)/Si and Fe/(Mg + Fe) ratios (Figures 2c, 2e, and 2f). Additionally, although the melt coexists with various solid phases in the run products (Table 1), the $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ values do not show a clear difference. It is thus concluded that $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ has strong temperature dependence, but is relatively insensitive to chemical composition, coexisting phases, and bulk water content in the system. Therefore, even though experiments in this study were performed with a simple system (MgO-SiO$_2$-FeO-H$_2$O) and high bulk water content, they should still be able to represent $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ in the lower mantle.

4. Temperature Dependence of $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$

As shown in Figure 3, $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ systematically decreases from 52 to 12 wt.% with increasing temperature from 1600 to 2300 K. The absolute values of $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ are basically consistent with that of 29.9 ± 4.1 wt.% reported by Nakajima et al. (2019) (Figure 3). However, their experimental temperature range was relatively small (1570–1870 K), which may mask the temperature dependence.

The $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ determined in this study apparently follows an exponential function of reciprocal temperature ($1/T$). The data points are thus fitted to the equation,

$$C_{H_2O}^{melt} = C_0 \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta G}{RT}\right)$$

(1)

Figure 2. Melt fraction and $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ in the run products. (a) Melt fraction is independent from run duration, indicating no significant water loss during the experiments. (b) Melt fraction increases with increasing bulk $C_{H_2O}$ in the starting material. Melt fraction estimated from the SEM images is consistent with that based on MgO and SiO$_2$ mass balance calculations. (c) $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ is independent of bulk $C_{H_2O}$ in the starting material. (d) Melt fraction is correlated to the experimental temperature. Numbers next to the data points represent the bulk $C_{H_2O}$ in the starting material. (e) $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ is independent of the (Mg + Fe)/Si ratio. (f) $C_{H_2O}^{melt}$ is relatively insensitive to the Fe/(Mg + Fe) ratio.
where $m_{H_2O}$ is the water content in wt.%, $T$ is the temperature, $R$ is the ideal gas constant, $C_0$ is a constant, and $\Delta G$ is the chemical potential difference of H$_2$O between melt and solid. By least square fitting, the $C_0$ and $\Delta G$ are found to be $10^{-0.32 \pm 0.17}$ and $-61.5 \pm 5.3$ kJ/mol, respectively.

In the view of thermodynamics, the chemical potential of H$_2$O in solid ($\mu_{H_2O}^\text{solid}$) and melt ($\mu_{H_2O}^\text{melt}$) phase is as follows:

$$\mu_{H_2O}^\text{solid} = \mu_{H_2O}^\text{solid,0} + RT \ln(a_{H_2O}^\text{solid})$$

(2)

$$\mu_{H_2O}^\text{melt} = \mu_{H_2O}^\text{melt,0} + RT \ln(a_{H_2O}^\text{melt})$$

(3)

where $\mu^\text{solid}$ is the standard chemical potential of H$_2$O in the hypothetical endmember and $a$ is the activity of H$_2$O in the solid or melt phases.

Under equilibrium, we have $\mu_{H_2O}^\text{solid} = \mu_{H_2O}^\text{melt}$. By assuming an ideal mixing process for H$_2$O incorporation, the H$_2$O activity is identical to the concentration. Therefore,

$$c_{H_2O}^\text{melt} = c_{H_2O}^\text{solid} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu_{H_2O}^\text{melt} - \mu_{H_2O}^\text{solid}}{RT}\right)$$

(4)

Because the temperature dependence of $C_{H_2O}^\text{solid}$ is relatively small in comparison with $C_{H_2O}^\text{melt}$ (Fei & Katsura, 2020), $C_{H_2O}^\text{melt}$ apparently becomes an exponential function of $1/T$.

5. Stability of the Melting Layer at 660-km Depth

A dehydration melting layer caused by downwelling flow (e.g., subducted slabs) is seismically interpreted at the topmost lower mantle near the 660-km discontinuity (Schmandt et al., 2014). The gravitational stability of this melting layer should be dominated by the melt density and therefore controlled by $C_{H_2O}^\text{melt}$ at

Figure 3. $C_{H_2O}^\text{melt}$ as a function of temperature at 23–23.5 GPa. Different symbols represent results from different starting materials, as listed in Table 1. The data by N19 (Nakajima et al., 2019) with peridotite + H$_2$O as starting material are also shown for comparison.
mantle temperatures. The geotherm of the topmost lower mantle is \( \sim 2000 \) K (Katsura et al., 2010), whereas the slabs are 300–500 K lower, that is, \( \sim 1600 \) K (Litasov et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2002). Sink/float experiments using multi-anvil techniques (Ohtani & Maeda, 2001; Sakamaki et al., 2006; Suzuki & Ohtani, 2003; Suzuki et al., 1995) suggest a melt density of 4.0 and 4.1 g/cm\(^3\) at 2000 and 1600 K, respectively, for both dry MORB and peridotitic melts after adjusting to 660-km depth pressure (\( \sim 23.5 \) GPa). However, this value might be slightly underestimated because small amounts of water are always inevitable in multi-anvil experiments. On the other hand, first-principle simulations (Bajgain et al., 2015) and X-ray diffraction in diamond anvil cell experiments (Sanloup et al., 2013) indicate a dry MORB melt density of 4.2–4.4 g/cm\(^3\) at 1600–2000 K (Figure 4).

According to the results from this study, \( C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{melt}} \) is \( \sim 20 \) and 50 wt.\% at 2000 and 1600 K, respectively. Using a \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) partial mole volume of 6.2–6.4 cm\(^3\)/mol in silicate melt (Sakamaki et al., 2006), the density of a hydrous melt with 20 wt.\% water (2000 K) is estimated to be 3.7–3.9 g/cm\(^3\), and 3.4–3.5 g/cm\(^3\) for a melt with 50 wt.\% water (1600 K). This is comparable with that reported by Nakajima et al. (2019) (3.6–3.9 g/cm\(^3\)) at 23.5 GPa and 1570–1870 K, as shown in Figure 4. However, as explained previously, the absolute values of \( C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{melt}} \) as well as the temperature and composition dependences were not well constrained in Nakajima et al. (2019).

Although density may increase with Fe content (Matsukage et al., 2005), the FeO wt.\% in hydrous peridotitic melt that coexists with bridgmanite is even lower than anhydrous melt (Ito & Takahashi, 1987; Nakajima et al., 2019). The hydrous melt at the topmost lower mantle is therefore always less dense than the transition zone. It should be maintained by downward flow and moves upward by buoyancy. By upwelling, ringwoodite with \( \sim 1 \) wt.\% \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) is expected to crystallize from the melt. Because \( C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{melt}} \gg 1\% \), the excess water (melt) may continuously move upward and reach the 410-km discontinuity where hydrous melt may be gravitationally stable (Matsukage et al., 2005; Sakamaki et al., 2006).

Figure 4. Density contrast of the transition zone, topmost lower mantle, and hydrous melt at 660-km depth. The blue and yellow regions are MORB melt density ranges at 1600 K (slab) and 2000 K (ambient mantle), respectively. The upper limit of each region is based on the dry MORB melt density given by Bajgain et al. (2015) and Sanloup et al. (2013). The lower limit is based on dry MORB and peridotitic melt given by Ohtani and Maeda (2001) and Suzuki et al. (1995), with a partial mole volume of 6.2–6.4 cm\(^3\)/mol for \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) at 23.5 GPa and 1600–2000 K (Sakamaki et al., 2006). All data are recalculated to 23.5 GPa and 1600 K (blue) or 2000 K (yellow) using their reported Birch-Murnaghan equation of state parameters and corresponding temperature dependences. PREM: density of the preliminary reference Earth model (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981).
6. Melt Fraction at the Topmost Lower Mantle

The melt fraction at the topmost lower mantle can be estimated from $C_{H_2O}^{\text{melt}}$ by a given water-content in the mantle transition zone. If we consider 55 vol.% ringwoodite in the mantle transition zone with $\sim$1.0 wt.% water (e.g., Fei & Katsura, 2020; Fei et al., 2017; Pearson et al., 2014), using $C_{H_2O}^{\text{melt}} = 50$ wt.% at 1600 K determined in this study, the melt fraction in the topmost lower mantle is estimated to be 1.3 vol.% based on mass balance by assuming negligible water in ferropericlase and bridgmanite (Bolfan-Casanova, 2000; Fu et al., 2019). Even if using a relatively dry transition zone model ($\sim$0.4 wt.% water based on seismic velocity [Houser, 2016]), the melt fraction will be $\sim$0.5 vol.% (calculation details are given in the supporting information).

Note that the secondary minerals in the slabs and ambient mantle including CaSiO$_3$-perovskite, garnet, and stishovite may also contain large amounts of water (Chen et al., 2020; Katayama et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2020; Nisr et al., 2020). However, they have no phase transition when crossing 660-km depth. Their water contents should therefore remain nearly constant and not affect the melt fraction estimation in this study.

Although the dihedral angle of the bridgmanite + silicate melt system is unknown, it is expected to decrease with increasing pressure and estimated to be $<10^\circ$ or nearly 0° at 660-km depth when extrapolated from the dihedral angle in the olivine-silicate melt system (Freitas & Manthilake, 2019). With such a low dihedral angle ($<10^\circ$), 0.5–1.3 vol.% of melt is sufficient to completely wet the grain boundaries of bridgmanite, which will substantially affect the dynamics at the topmost lower mantle. For example, the melt may account for the seismic velocity reduction near 660-km depth (Schmandt et al., 2014). Another relevant example is viscosity. By complete wetting of grain boundaries, the viscosity of rocks could be significantly reduced by the pressure-solution creep mechanism, which may cause the topmost lower mantle to be rheologically much weaker (low viscosity) than the transition zone and deep lower mantle. Such a low-viscosity layer will largely prohibit whole mantle convection and may cause slab stagnation within the mantle transition zone (Fukao et al., 2009) because the resistance for horizontal flow is largely reduced (Mao & Zhong, 2018).
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