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#### Abstract

A vector space partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ is a collection of subspaces such that every non-zero vector is contained in a unique element. We improve a lower bound of Heden, in a subcase, on the number of elements of the smallest occurring dimension in a vector space partition. To this end, we introduce the notion of $q^{r}$-divisible sets of $k$-subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$. By geometric arguments we obtain non-existence results for these objects, which then imply the improved result of Heden.


## 1. Introduction

Let $q>1$ be a prime power, $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the finite filed with $q$ elements, and $v$ a positive integer. A vector space partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ is a collection of subspaces with the property that every non-zero vector is contained in a unique member of $\mathcal{P}$. If $\mathcal{P}$ contains $m_{d}$ subspaces of dimension $d$, then $\mathcal{P}$ is of type $k^{m_{k}} \ldots 1^{m_{1}}$. We may leave out some of the cases with $m_{d}=0$. Subspaces of dimension $d$ are also called $d$-subspaces. 1 -subspaces are called points, $(v-1)$-subspaces are called hyperplanes, and each $k$-subspace contains $\left[\begin{array}{c}k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}:=\frac{q^{k}-1}{q-1}$ points. So, in a vector space partition $\mathcal{P}$ each point of the ambient space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ is covered by exactly one point of one of the elements of $\mathcal{P}$. An example of a vector space partition is given by a $k$-spread in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$, where $\left[\begin{array}{c}v \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{c}k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} k$-subspaces partition the set of points of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$. The corresponding type is given by $k^{m_{k}}$, where $m_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{c}v \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{c}k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$. If $k$ divides $v$ then considering the points of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}^{v / k}$ as $k$-dimensional subspaces over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ gives a construction of $k$-spreads. If $k$ does not divide $v$, then no $k$-spreads exist. Vector space partitions of type $k^{m_{k}} 1^{m_{1}}$ are known under the name partial $k$-spreads. More precisely, a partial $k$-spread in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ is a set $\mathcal{K}$ of $k$-subspaces such that each point of the ambient space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ is covered at most by one of its elements. Adding the set of uncovered points, which are also called holes, gives a vector space partition of type $k^{m_{k}} 1^{m_{1}}$. Maximizing $m_{k}=\# \mathcal{K}$ is equivalent to the minimization of $m_{1}$. If $d_{1}$ is the smallest dimension with $m_{d_{1}} \neq 0$, we call $m_{d_{1}}$ the length of the tail and call the set of the corresponding $d_{1}$-subspace the tail. Vector space partitions with a tail of small length are of special interest. In [4] Olof Heden obtained:

Theorem 1. (Theorem 1 in [4]) Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a vector space partition of type $d_{l}{ }^{u_{l}} \ldots d_{2}{ }^{u_{2}} d_{1}{ }^{u_{1}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$, where $u_{1}, u_{2}>0$ and $d_{l}>\cdots>d_{2}>d_{1} \geq 1$.
(i) If $q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$ does not divide $u_{1}$ and if $d_{2}<2 d_{1}$, then $u_{1} \geq q^{d_{1}}+1$;
(ii) if $q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$ does not divide $u_{1}$ and if $d_{2} \geq 2 d_{1}$, then either $d_{1}$ divides $d_{2}$ and $u_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}d_{2} \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{c}d_{1} \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ or $u_{1}>2 q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$;
(iii) if $q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$ divides $u_{1}$ and $d_{2}<2 d_{1}$, then $u_{1} \geq q^{d_{2}}-q^{d_{1}}+q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$;
(iv) if $q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$ divides $u_{1}$ and $d_{2} \geq 2 d_{1}$, then $u_{1} \geq q^{d_{2}}$.

Moreover, in Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 he classified the possible sets of $d_{1}$-subspaces for $u_{1}=q^{d_{1}}+1$ and $u_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}d_{2} \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{c}d_{1} \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$, respectively. The results were obtained using the theory of mixed perfect 1-codes, see e.g. [6].

In [2] the authors improved a lower bound of Heden on the size of inclusion-maximal partial 2 -spreads by translating the underlying techniques into geometry. Here we improve Theorem 1 (ii). The underlying geometric structure is the set $\mathcal{N}$ of $d_{1}$-subspaces of a vector space partition $\mathcal{P}$ of type $d_{l}{ }^{u_{l}} \ldots d_{2}{ }^{u_{2}} d_{1}{ }^{u_{1}}$. For $d_{1}$ this is just a set of points in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$. It can be shown that the existence of $\mathcal{P}$ implies $\# \mathcal{N} \equiv \#(\mathcal{N} \cap H)\left(\bmod q^{d_{2}-1}\right)$ for every hyperplane $H$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$, see e.g. [7]. Taking a vector representation of the elements of $\mathcal{N}$ as columns of a generator matrix, we obtain a corresponding (projective) linear code $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. The modulo constraints for $\mathcal{N}$ are equivalent to the property that the Hamming weights of the codewords of $\mathcal{C}$ are divisible by $q^{d_{2}-1}$. The study of so-called divisible codes, where the Hamming weights of the codewords of a linear code are divisible by some factor $\Delta>1$, was initiated by Harold Ward, see e.g. [9]. The MacWilliams identities, linking the weight distribution of a linear code with the weight distribution of its dual code, can be relaxed to a linear program. Incorporating some information about the weight distribution of a linear code may result in an infeasible linear program, which then certifies the non-existence of such a code. This technique is known under the name linear programming method for codes and was more generally developed for association schemes by Philip Delsarte [3]. In [8] analytic solutions of linear programs for projective $q^{r}$-divisible linear codes have been applied in order to compute upper bounds for partial $k$-spreads. Indeed, all currently known upper bounds for partial $k$-spreads can be deduced from this method, see [7] for a survey.

[^0]Here, we generalize the approach to the case $d_{1}>1$ by studying the properties of the set $\mathcal{N}$ of $d_{1}$-subspaces of a vector space partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ of type $d_{l}{ }^{u_{l}} \ldots d_{2}{ }^{u_{2}} d_{1}{ }^{u_{1}}$ in Section 2 It turns out that we have $\# \mathcal{N} \equiv \#(\mathcal{N} \cap H)$ $\left(\bmod q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}\right)$ for every hyperplane $H$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$, see Lemma 3. which we introduce as a definition of a $q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$-divisible set of $k$-subspaces with trivial intersection. By elementary counting techniques we obtain a partial substitute for the MacWilliams identities, see the equations (1) and (2). These imply some analytical criteria for the non-existence of such sets $\mathcal{N}$, which are used in Section 3 to reprove Theorem 1 By an improved analysis we tighten Theorem 1 to Theorem 12 More precisely, the second lower bound of Theorem 1 (ii) is improved. We close with some numerical results on the spectrum of the possible cardinalities of $\mathcal{N}$ and pose some open problems.

## 2. SETS OF DISJOINT $k$-SUBSPACES AND THEIR INCIDENCES WITH HYPERPLANES

For a positive integer $k$ let $\mathcal{N}$ be a set of pairwise disjoint, i.e., having trivial intersection, $k$-subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$, where we assume that the $k$-subspaces from $\mathcal{N}$ span $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$, i.e., $v$ is minimally chosen. By $a_{i}$ we denote the number of hyperplanes $H$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ with $\#(\mathcal{N} \cap H):=\#\{U \in \mathcal{N}: U \leq H\}=i$ and set $n:=\# \mathcal{N}$. Due to our assumption on the minimality of the dimension $v$ not all $n$ elements from $\mathcal{N}$ can be contained in a hyperplane. Double-counting the incidences of the tuples $(H),\left(B_{1}, H\right)$, and $\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, H\right)$, where $H$ is a hyperplane and $B_{1} \neq B_{2}$ are elements of $\mathcal{N}$ contained in $H$ gives:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v  \tag{1}\\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i a_{i}=n \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
v-k \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}, \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i(i-1) a_{i}=n(n-1) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
v-2 k \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

For three different elements $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ their span $\left\langle B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}\right\rangle$ has a dimension $i$ between $2 k$ and $3 k$. Denoting the number of corresponding triples by $b_{i}$, double-counting tuples $\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, H\right)$, where $H$ is a hyperplane and $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}$ are pairwise different elements of $\mathcal{N}$ contained in $H$, gives:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i(i-1)(i-2) a_{i}=\sum_{i=2 k}^{3 k} b_{i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v-i  \tag{2}\\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=2 k}^{3 k} b_{i}=n(n-1)(n-2)
$$

Given parameters $q, k, n$, and $v$ the so-called (integer) linear programming method asks for a solution of the equation system given by $(1)$ and $(2)$ with $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\left(a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{N}\right)$. If no solution exists, then no corresponding set $\mathcal{N}$ can exist. For $k=1$ the equations from (1) and (2) correspond to the first four MacWilliams identities, see e.g. [7].

If there is a single non-zero value $a_{i}$ the system can be solved analytically.
Lemma 2. If $a_{i}=0$ for all $i \neq r>0$ and $k<v$ in the above setting, then there exists an integer $s \geq 2$ with $v=s k$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is a $k$-spread. Additionally we have $r=\frac{q^{v-k}-1}{q^{k}-1}$.
Proof. Solving $\sqrt{17}$ for $r, a_{r}$, and $n$ gives $n=\frac{q^{2 v-k}-q^{v}-q^{v-k}+1}{q^{v}-q^{v-k}-q^{k}+1}$. Writing $v=s k+t$ with $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq t<k$ we obtain $n=\sum_{i=1}^{s} q^{v-i k}+\frac{q^{v-k+t}-q^{v-k}-q^{t}+1}{q^{v}-q^{v-k}-q^{k}+1}$. Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq q^{v-k+t}-q^{v-k}-q^{t}+1<q^{v}-q^{v-k}-q^{k}+1$ we have $q^{v-k+t}-q^{v-k}-q^{t}+1=0$ so that $t=0$ and $n=\frac{q^{v}-1}{q^{k}-1}$. Counting points gives that $\mathcal{N}$ partitions $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$.
We remark that $r=0$ forces $n \in\{0,1\}$ so that $\mathcal{N}$ is empty or consists of a single $k$-subspace in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ and $v=k$ implies the latter case. So, these degenerated cases correspond to $s \in\{0,1\}$ in Lemma2] As pointed out after [4], Theorem 2], such results can be proved in different ways. While the case that only one $a_{i}$ is non-zero is rather special, we can show that many $a_{i}$ are equal to zero in our setting.

Lemma 3. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a vector space partition of type $d_{l}{ }^{u_{l}} \ldots d_{2}{ }^{u_{2}} d_{1}{ }^{u_{1}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$, where $u_{1}, u_{2}>0$, and let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of $d_{1}$-subspaces. Then, we have $\# \mathcal{N} \equiv \#(\mathcal{N} \cap H)\left(\bmod q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}\right)$ for every hyperplane $H$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$.
Proof. For each $U \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $\operatorname{dim}(U \cap H) \in\{\operatorname{dim}(U), \operatorname{dim}(U)-1\}$. So counting points in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ and $H$ gives the existence of integers $a, a^{\prime}$ with $m \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}d_{2} \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}+a q^{d_{2}}+u_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}d_{1} \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}=\left[\begin{array}{c}v \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ and $m \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}d_{2}-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}+a^{\prime} q^{d_{2}-1}+u_{1}^{\prime} q^{d_{1}-1}+u_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}d_{1}-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}=$ $\left[\begin{array}{c}v-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$, where $m:=\sum_{i=2}^{l} u_{i}$ and $u_{1}^{\prime}:=\#(\mathcal{N} \cap H)$. By subtraction we obtain $m q^{d_{2}-1}+a q^{d_{2}}-a^{\prime} q^{d_{2}-1}+u_{1} q^{d_{1}-1}-$ $u_{1}^{\prime} q^{d_{1}-1}=q^{v-1}$, so that $u_{1} q^{d_{1}-1} \equiv u_{1}^{\prime} q^{d_{1}-1}\left(\bmod q^{d_{2}-1}\right)$.
Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a set of $k$-subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$. If there exists a positive integer $r$ such that $a_{i}$ is non-zero only if $\# \mathcal{N}-i$ is divisible by $q^{r}$ and the $k$-subspaces are pairwise disjoint, then we call $\mathcal{N} q^{r}$-divisible.

Using the notation of Lemma $3, \mathcal{N}$ is $q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$-divisible. As mentioned in the introduction, for $d_{1}=1$, taking the elements of $\mathcal{N}$ as columns of a generator matrix, we obtain a projective linear code, whose Hamming weights are divisible by $q^{d_{2}-1}$.
Example 5. For integers $k \geq 2$ and $r=a k+b$ with $0 \leq b<k$ let $\mathcal{N}$ be a $k$-spread of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{(a+2) k}$. Starting from a $(a+2) k$-spread in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2(a+2) k}$ we obtain a vector space partition $\mathcal{P}$ by replacing one $(a+2) k$-dimensional spread
element with $\mathcal{N}$. From Lemma 3 and $q^{r} \mid q^{(a+2) k-k}=q^{(a+1) k}$ we deduce that the set $\mathcal{N}$ of $k$-subspaces is $q^{r}$-divisible. Its cardinality is given by $\left[\begin{array}{c}(a+2) k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{l}k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$.
Example 6. For integers $k \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$ let $n=k+r$ and consider a matrix representation $M: \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n \times n}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}$, obtained by expressing the multiplication maps $\mu_{\alpha}: \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}, x \mapsto \alpha x$, which are linear over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, in terms of a fixed basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then, all matrices in $M\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$ are invertible and have mutual rank distance $d_{\mathrm{R}}(A, B):=\operatorname{rk}(A-B)=n$, see e.g. [7] for proofs of these and the subsequent facts. In other words, the matrices of $M\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$ form a maximum rank distance code with minimum rank distance $n$ and cardinality $q^{n}$.

Now let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k \times n}$ be the matrix code obtained from $M\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$ by deleting the last $n-k$ rows, say, of every matrix. Then $\mathcal{B}$ has cardinality minimum rank distance $k$. Hence, by applying the lifting construction $B \mapsto\left(I_{k} \mid B\right)$, where $I_{k}$ is the $k \times k$ identity matrix, to $\mathcal{B}$ we obtain a partial $k$-spread $\mathcal{N}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ of size $q^{n}=q^{k+r}$. Since precisely the points outside the $(k+r)$-subspace $S=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}: x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{k}=0\right\}$ are covered, $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{N} \cup\{S\}$ is a vector space partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2 k+r}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is $q^{k+r}$-divisible with cardinality $q^{k+r}$.

From the first two equations of (1) we deduce:
Lemma 7. For a $q^{r}$-divisible set $\mathcal{N}$ of $k$-subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$, there exists a hyperplane $H$ with $\#(\mathcal{N} \cap H) \leq n / q^{k}$.
Proof. Let $i$ be the smallest index with $a_{i} \neq 0$. Then, the first two equations of 11 are equivalent to $\sum_{j \geq 0} a_{i+q^{r} j}=$ $\left[\begin{array}{l}v \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ and $\sum_{j \geq 0}\left(i+q^{r} j\right) \cdot a_{i+q^{r} j}=n\left[\begin{array}{c}v-k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$. Subtracting $i$ times the first equation from the second equation gives $\sum_{j>0} q^{r} j a_{i+q^{r} j}=n \cdot \frac{q^{v-k}-1}{q-1}-i \cdot \frac{q^{v}-1}{q-1}$. Since the left-hand side is non-negative, we have $i \leq \frac{q^{v-k}-1}{q^{v}-1} \cdot n \leq \frac{n}{q^{k}}$. $\square$

Stated less technical, the proof of Lemma 7 is given by the fact that the hyperplane with the minimum number of $k$-subspaces contains at most as many $k$-subspaces as the average number of $k$-subspaces per hyperplane.

Taking also the third equation of (1) into account implies a quadratic criterion:
Lemma 8. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be a $q^{r}$-divisible set of $k$-subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$. Then, $\tau\left(n, q^{r}, q^{k}, m\right) \cdot q^{v-2 k-2 r}-m(m-1) \geq$ 0 , where $\tau(n, \Delta, u, m):=\Delta^{2} u^{2} m(m-1)-n(2 m-1) u(u-1) \Delta+n(u-1)(n(u-1)+1)$.
Proof. With $y=q^{v-2 k}, u=q^{k}$, and $\Delta=q^{r}$, we can rewrite the equations of 1 to $u^{2} y-1=(q-1) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i}$, $n \cdot(u y-1)=(q-1) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i a_{i}$, and $n(n-1) \cdot(y-1)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i(i-1) a_{i} \cdot(n-m \Delta)(n-(m-1) \Delta)$ times the first minus $2 n-(2 m-1) \Delta-1$ times the second plus the third equation gives $y \cdot \tau(n, \Delta, u, m)-\Delta^{2} m(m-1)=$ $(q-1) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}(n-m \Delta-i)(n-(m-1) \Delta-i) a_{i}=(q-1) \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta^{2}(m-h)(m-h+1) a_{n-h \Delta} \geq 0$.

As a preparation we present another classification result:
Lemma 9. If $\mathcal{N}$ is a $q$-divisible set of $k$-subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ of cardinality $q^{k}+1$, then $\mathcal{N}$ partitions $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2 k}$.
Proof. Setting $c_{i}:=(q-1) a_{1+i q}$ and $l:=q^{k-1}-1$ we can rewrite the equations of 11) to $\sum_{i=0}^{l} c_{i}=q^{v}-1$, $\sum_{i=0}^{l}(1+i q) c_{i}=\left(q^{k}+1\right)\left(q^{v-k}-1\right)$, and $\sum_{i=0}^{l} i q(1+i q) c_{i}=\left(q^{k}+1\right) q^{k}\left(q^{v-2 k}-1\right)$. Since $q l+1$ times the second minus $q l+1$ times the first minus the third equation gives $0 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{l} i q^{2}(l-i) c_{i}=-q^{k+1}\left(q^{v-2 k}-1\right)$, we have $v=2 k$. Every point of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ is covered by an element from $\mathcal{N}$ due to $\left[\begin{array}{c}2 k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{c}k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}=q^{k}+1$.

## 3. Proof of Heden's results and further improvements

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a vector space partition of type $d_{l}{ }^{u_{l}} \ldots d_{2}{ }^{u_{2}} d_{1}{ }^{u_{1}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v^{\prime}}$, where $u_{1}, u_{2}>0, d_{l}>\cdots>d_{2}>d_{1} \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of $d_{1}$-subspaces and $V$ be the subspace spanned by $\mathcal{N}$. By $n$ we denote the cardinality of $\mathcal{N}$ and by $a_{i}$ we denote the number of hyperplanes of $V$ that contain exactly $i$ elements from $\mathcal{N}$.

Assume that $q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$ does not divide $u_{1}$. We have $\#(\mathcal{N} \cap H) \geq 1$ for every hyperplane $H$ of $V$ due to Lemma 3 . so that Lemma 7 gives $u_{1} \geq q^{d_{1}}$. Thus, we have $u_{1} \geq q^{d_{1}}+1$. If $u=q^{d_{1}}+1$ then we can apply Lemma 9 for the classification of the possible sets $\mathcal{N}$. If $u_{1}<2 q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$ then for $a_{i}>0$ we have $i<q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$ and $i \equiv u_{1}\left(\bmod q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}\right)$ so that we can apply Lemma 2. Thus, either $d_{2}$ divides $d_{1}$ and $u_{1}=\left(q^{d_{2}}-1\right) /\left(q^{d_{1}}-1\right)$ or $u_{1}>2 q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$. The first case can be attained by a $d_{2}$-spread where one $d_{2}$-subspace is replaced by a $d_{1}$-spread, see Example 5 . We remark that no assumption on the relation between $d_{2}$ and $d_{1}$ is used in our derivation. However, if $d_{2}<2 d_{1}$ then $d_{1}$ cannot divide $d_{2}$ and $q_{1}^{d}+1>2 q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$.

Assume that $q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$ divides $u_{1}$. Setting $\Delta=q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}, u=q^{d_{1}}, n=\Delta l$, and $m=\dagger^{\dagger}$ for some integer $l$, we conclude $\tau(n, \Delta, u, m)=\Delta l(\Delta l-\Delta u+u-1) \geq 0$ from Lemma 8 , so that $l \geq\left\lceil u-\frac{u}{\Delta}+\frac{1}{\Delta}\right\rceil$. The right-hand side is equal to $u=q^{d_{1}}$ if $d_{2} \geq 2 d_{1}$ and to $u-u / \Delta+1=q^{d_{1}}-q^{2 d_{1}-d_{2}}+1$ otherwise, which is equivalent to $n \geq q^{d_{2}}$ and $n \geq q^{d_{2}}-q^{d_{1}}+q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$. We remark that equality is achievable in the latter case via the 2 -weight codes constructed in [1] (with parameters $n^{\prime}=d_{1}$ and $m=d_{2}-d_{1}$ ). We do not know whether the corresponding $q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}$-divisible set of $d_{1}$-subspaces can be realized as a vector space partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}{ }^{\ddagger}$ For the first case see Example 6 .

[^1]The above comprises [4, Theorems 1-4]. Given the stated examples, just Theorem 1]ii), for the case where $d_{1}$ does not divide $d_{2}$, leaves some space for improving the lower bound on $u_{1}$. To that end we analyze Lemma 8 in more detail. Since the statements look rather technical and complicated we first give a justification for the necessity of this fact. Via the quadratic inequality of Lemma 8 intervals of cardinalities can be excluded for different values of the parameter $m$. However, some cardinalities are indeed feasible. If $r=a k+b$ with $0 \leq b<k$ then the two constructions from Example 5 and Example 6 give $q^{r}$-divisible set of $k$-subspaces of cardinality $\left[\begin{array}{c}(a+2) k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{c}k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ and $q^{k+r}$, respectively. For $q=2, r=3, k=2$ the cardinalities of these two examples are given by 21 and 32 . In general, each two $q^{r}$-divisible sets $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{2}$ of $k$-subspaces can be combined to a $q^{r}$-divisible set of $k$-subspaces of cardinality $\# \mathcal{N}_{1}+\# \mathcal{N}_{2}$. Since $\left[\begin{array}{c}(a+2) k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{c}k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ and $q^{k+r}$ are coprime there exists some integer $F_{q}(k, r)$ such that $q^{r}$-divisible sets of $k$-subspaces exist for every cardinality $n>F_{q}(k, r)$. Below that number some cardinalities can be excluded, but their density decreases with increasing $n$. Our numerical example is continued after the proof of Theorem 12
Proposition 10. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a $q^{r}$-divisible set of $k$-subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$, $u=q^{k}$ and $\Delta=q^{r}$. Then, $n \notin\left[1, \frac{q^{k+r}-1}{q^{r}-1}\right)$ and

$$
n \notin\left[\left[\frac{1}{u-1} \cdot\left(\Delta u m-\frac{\Delta u+1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\omega}\right)\right],\left\lfloor\frac{1}{u-1} \cdot\left(\Delta u m-\frac{\Delta u+1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\omega}\right)\right]\right]
$$

where $\omega=(\Delta u-2 m)^{2}+\left(2 \Delta u+1-4 m^{2}\right)$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2 \leq m \leq\left\lfloor\frac{\Delta u}{4}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4 \Delta u}\right\rfloor$.
PROOF. We set $\bar{\Delta}=\Delta u$ and $\bar{n}=n(u-1)$ so that $\tau(n, \Delta, u, m)=\bar{\Delta}^{2} m(m-1)-\bar{n} \bar{\Delta}(2 m-1)+\bar{n}(\bar{n}+1)$. We have $\tau(n, \Delta, u, m) \leq 0$ iff $\left|\bar{n}-\bar{\Delta} m+\frac{\bar{\Delta}+1}{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\bar{\Delta}^{2}-4 m \bar{\Delta}+2 \bar{\Delta}+1}$ and $m \leq \frac{\bar{\Delta}}{4}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4 \bar{\Delta}}$. Rewriting and applying Lemma 8 with $1 \leq m \leq\left\lfloor\frac{\Delta u}{4}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4 \Delta u}\right\rfloor$ gives the result since $m(m-1)>0$ for $m \geq 2$.
Proposition 11. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a $q^{r}$-divisible set of $k$-subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$, where $r=a k+b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{N}, 0<b<k$ and $a \geq 1$. Then, $n \geq \frac{q^{(a+2) k}-1}{q^{k}-1}=q^{r} \cdot q^{k-b}+\frac{q^{r} \cdot q^{k-b}-1}{q^{k}-1}=\Delta q^{k-b}+q^{k} \Theta+1$, where $\Delta:=q^{r}$ and $\Theta:=\frac{q^{a k}-1}{q^{k}-1}$.
Proof. From Lemma 2 we conclude $n \geq 2 q^{r}$ and set $u=q^{k}$. For $2 \leq m \leq q^{k-b}$ we have $2 \Delta u+1-4 m^{2}>0$, so that Proposition 10 gives $n \notin\left[\left\lceil\frac{\Delta u(m-1)-1 / 2+m}{u-1}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{\Delta u m-1 / 2-m}{u-1}\right\rfloor\right]$. Since $\Delta(m-1) \leq\left\lceil\frac{\Delta u(m-1)-1 / 2+m}{u-1}\right\rceil=$ $\Delta(m-1)+\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(m-1)-1 / 2+m}{u-1}\right\rceil \leq \Delta m$ and $\left\lfloor\frac{\Delta u m-1 / 2-m}{u-1}\right\rfloor=\Delta m+m q^{b} \Theta+\left\lfloor\frac{m q^{b}-1 / 2-m}{q^{k}-1}\right\rfloor=\Delta m+m q^{b} \Theta$, we conclude $n \notin\left[\Delta m, \Delta m+m q^{b} \Theta\right]$ for $2 \leq m \leq q^{k-b}$.

It remains to show $n \notin\left[\Delta m, \Delta m+m q^{b} \Theta+1, \Delta(m+1)-1\right]=: I_{m}$ for all $2 \leq m \leq q^{k-b}-1$. If $n \in I_{m}$, then we can write $n=\Delta m+m q^{b} \Theta+x$ with $x \geq 1$ and $m q^{b} \Theta+x<\Delta$, so that $q^{k} \cdot\left(m q^{b} \Theta+x\right)=\Delta m+m q^{b} \Theta+$ $\left(x q^{k}-m q^{b}\right)<\Delta m+m q^{b} \Theta+x=n$, which contradicts Lemma 7

In other words, in the case of Theorem 1 (ii), where $d_{2}=a d_{1}+b$ with $0<b<d_{1}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $u_{1} \geq q^{d_{2}-d_{1}} \cdot q^{d_{1}-b}+\frac{q^{(a+1) d_{1}}-1}{q^{d_{1}-1}}=\frac{q^{(a+2) d_{1}}-1}{q^{d_{1}-1}}$, which can be attained by an $d_{1}$-spread in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{(a+2) d_{1}}$. Without the knowledge of $b$, we can state $u_{1} \geq q \cdot q^{d_{2}-d_{1}}+\left\lceil\frac{q^{d_{2}+1}-1}{q^{d_{1}-1}}\right\rceil$, which also improves Theorem 1 (ii) and is tight whenever $d_{2}+1$ is divisible by $d_{1}$. Summarizing our findings we obtain our main theorem:
Theorem 12. For a non-empty $q^{r}$-divisible set $\mathcal{N}$ of $k$-subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ the following bounds on $n=\# \mathcal{N}$ are tight.
(i) We have $n \geq q^{k}+1$ and if $r \geq k$ then either $k$ divides $r$ and $n \geq \frac{q^{k+r}-1}{q^{k}-1}$ or $n \geq \frac{q^{(a+2) k}-1}{q^{k}-1}$, where $r=a k+b$ with $0<b<k$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) Let $q^{r}$ divide $n$. If $r<k$ then $n \geq q^{k+r}-q^{k}+q^{r}$ and $n \geq q^{k+r}$ otherwise.

For (i) the lower bounds are attained by $k$-spreads, see Example 5 . For (ii) the second lower bound is attained by a construction based on lifted MRD codes, see Example 6 In the other case the 2-weight codes constructed in [1] attain the lower bound. Thus, Theorem 12 is tight and implies an improvement of Theorem 1 (ii).

While the smallest cardinality of a non-empty $q^{r}$-divisible set of $k$-subspaces over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ has been determined, the spectrum of possible cardinalities remains widely unknown. For $k=1$ [7] Theorem 12] states that either $n>r q^{r+1}$ or there exist integers $a, b$ with $n=a\left[\begin{array}{c}r+1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}+b q^{r+1}$ and bounds for the maximum excluded cardinality have been determined in [5]. However, Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, applied via Proposition 10, give restrictions going far beyond Theorem 12 For $q=2, r=3, k=2$, and $n \leq 81$ we exemplarily state that only $n \in\{21,31,32,33,42$, $43,44,52, \ldots, 55,62, \ldots, 66,72, \ldots, 78\}$ might be attainable. The mentioned constructions cover the cases $n \in$ $\{21,32,42,53,63,64,74\} \subseteq\{21 a+32 b: a, b \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Replacing the lines by their contained 3 points, we obtain $2^{4}$-divisible sets of 1-subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{v}$ of cardinality $3 n$, for which two further exclusion criteria have been presented in [7], excluding the cases $n \in\{33,44\}$. [7] Lemma 23] is based on a cubic polynomial obtained from (1) and 22, similar to the quadratic polynomial from Lemma 8 obtained from (1). Here, the presence of $k$ additional $b_{i}$-variables
may make the analysis more difficult for $k>1$. For a $q^{r}$-divisible set $\mathcal{N}$ of 1 -subspaces we have that $\mathcal{N} \cap H$ is $q^{r-1}$-divisible for every hyperplane $H$, which allows a recursive application of the linear programming method. For $k>1$ we need to consider $k$-subspaces and $k-1$-subspaces in $H$, see [7] Section 6.3], which makes the bookkeeping more complicated.

The determination of the possible spectrum of cardinalities of $q^{r}$-divisible sets of $k$-subspaces remains an interesting open problem. Even for small parameters this might be challenging. A possible intermediate step is the determination of the number $F_{q}(k, r)$ being similar to the Frobenius number. Extending the small list of constructions is also worthwhile.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ The choice for $m$ can be obtained by minimizing $\tau(n, \Delta, u, m)$, i.e., solving $\frac{\partial \tau(n, \Delta, u, m)}{\partial m}=0$ and rounding.
    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ A suitable test case might be to decide whether a vector space partition of type $4^{4} 3^{135} 2^{6}$ exists in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{10}$.

