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#### Abstract

A partial $t$-spread in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is a collection of $t$-dimensional subspaces with trivial intersection such that each non-zero vector is covered at most once. We present some improved upper bounds on the maximum sizes.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $q>1$ be a prime power and $n$ a positive integer. A vector space partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is a collection of subspaces with the property that every non-zero vector is contained in a unique member of $\mathcal{P}$. If $\mathcal{P}$ contains $m_{d}$ subspaces of dimension $d$, then $\mathcal{P}$ is of type $k^{m_{k}} \ldots 1^{m_{1}}$. We may leave out some of the cases with $m_{d}=0$. Subspaces of dimension 1 are called holes. If there is at least one non-hole, then $\mathcal{P}$ is called non-trivial.

A partial $t$-spread in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is a collection of $t$-dimensional subspaces such that the non-zero vectors are covered at most once, i.e., a vector space partition of type $t^{m_{t}} 1^{m_{1}}$. By $A_{q}(n, 2 t ; t)$ we denote the maximum value of $m_{t}{ }^{1}$. Writing $n=k t+r$, with $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $r \leq t-1$, we can state that for $r \leq 1$ or $n \leq 2 t$ the exact value of $A_{q}(n, 2 t ; t)$ was known for more than forty years [1]. Via a computer search the cases $A_{2}(3 k+2,6 ; 3)$ were settled in 2010 [5]. In 2015 the entire case $q=r=2$ was resolved by continuing the original approach of Beutelspacher [11], i.e., by considering the set of holes in $(n-2)$-dimensional subspaces. Very recently, this was generalized to the consideration of the set of holes in $(n-j)$-dimensional subspaces, where $j \leq t-2$, and general $q$ [12] so that we now know the exact values of $A_{q}(k t+r, 2 t ; t)$ in all cases where $t>\left[\begin{array}{l}r \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}:=\frac{q^{r}-1}{q-1}$. Here, we streamline and generalize their approach leading to improved upper bounds on $A_{q}(n, 2 t ; t)$.

## 2. Subspaces with the minimum number of holes

Definition 2.1. A vector space partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ has hole-type $\left(t, s, m_{1}\right)$, if it is of type $t^{m_{t}} \ldots s^{m_{s}} 1^{m_{1}}$, for some integers $n>t \geq s \geq 2, m_{i} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ for $i \in\{1, s, \ldots, t\}$, and $\mathcal{P}$ is non-trivial.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a vector space partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ of hole-type $\left(t, s, m_{1}\right)$ and $l, x \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $\sum_{i=s}^{t} m_{i}=$ $l q^{s}+x . \mathcal{P}_{H}=\{U \cap H: U \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a vector space partition of type $t^{m_{t}^{\prime}} \ldots(s-1)^{m_{s-1}^{\prime}} 1^{m_{1}^{\prime}}$, for a hyperplane $H$ with $\widehat{m}_{1}$ holes. We have $\widehat{m}_{1} \equiv \frac{m_{1}+x-1}{q}\left(\bmod q^{s-1}\right)$. If $s>2$, then $\mathcal{P}_{H}$ is non-trivial and $m_{1}^{\prime}=\widehat{m}_{1}$.

Proof. If $U \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\operatorname{dim}(U)-\operatorname{dim}(U \cap H) \in\{0,1\}$ for an arbitrary hyperplane $H$. For $s>2$, counting the 1-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ and $H$, via $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{H}$, yields

$$
\left(l q^{s}+x\right) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
s \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}+a q^{s}+m_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
n \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(l q^{s}+x\right) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
s-1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}+a^{\prime} q^{s-1}+\widehat{m}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

for some $a, a^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Since $1+q \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}n-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}-\left[\begin{array}{c}n \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}=0$ we conclude $1+q \widehat{m}_{1}-m_{1}-x \equiv 0\left(\bmod q^{s}\right)$. Thus, $\mathbb{Z} \ni \widehat{m}_{1} \equiv \frac{m_{1}+x-1}{q}\left(\bmod q^{s-1}\right)$. For $s=2$ we have

$$
\left(l q^{2}+x\right) \cdot(q+1)+a q^{2}+m_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
n \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(l q^{2}+x-m_{1}^{\prime}+\widehat{m}_{1}\right) \cdot(q+1)+a^{\prime} q^{2}+m_{1}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

leading to the same conclusion $\widehat{m}_{1} \equiv \frac{m_{1}+x-1}{q}\left(\bmod q^{s-1}\right)$.

[^0]Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a vector space partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ of hole-type $\left(t, s, m_{1}\right), l, x \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $\sum_{i=s}^{t} m_{i}=l q^{s}+x$, and $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m_{1}=b q^{s}+c$. If $x \geq 1$, then there exists a hyperplane $\widehat{H}$ with $\widehat{m}_{1}=\widehat{b} q^{s-1}+\widehat{c}$ holes, where $\widehat{c}:=\frac{c+x-1}{q} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b>\widehat{b} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. Apply Lemma 2.2 and observe $m_{1} \equiv c\left(\bmod q^{s}\right)$. Let the number of holes in $\widehat{H}$ be minimal. Then,

$$
\widehat{m}_{1} \leq \text { average number of holes per hyperplane }=m_{1} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-1  \tag{1}\\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}<\frac{m_{1}}{q}
$$

Assuming $\widehat{b} \geq b$ yields $q \widehat{m}_{1} \geq q \cdot\left(b q^{s-1}+\widehat{c}\right)=b q^{s}+c+x-1 \geq m_{1}$, which contradicts Inequality (1).
Corollary 2.4. Using the notation from Lemma 2.3. let $\mathcal{P}$ be a non-trivial vector space partition with $x \geq 1$. For each $0 \leq j \leq s-1$ there exists an $(n-j)$-dimensional subspace $U$ containing $\widehat{m}_{1}$ holes with $\widehat{m}_{1} \equiv \widehat{c}$ $\left(\bmod q^{s-j}\right)$ and $\widehat{m}_{1} \leq(b-j) \cdot q^{s-j}+\widehat{c}$, where $\widehat{c}=\frac{c+\left[\begin{array}{l}j \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} \cdot(x-1)}{q^{j}}$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a vector space partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ with $c \geq 1$ holes and $a_{i}$ denote the number of hyperplanes containing $i$ holes. Then, $\sum_{i=1}^{c} a_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}n \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}, \sum_{i=1}^{c} i a_{i}=c \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}n-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ and $\sum_{i=2}^{c} i(i-1) a_{i}=c(c-1) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}n-2 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$.
Proof. Double-count the incidences of the tuples $(H),\left(B_{1}, H\right)$, and $\left(\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}, H\right)$, where $H$ is a hyperplane and $B_{1} \neq B_{2}$ are points contained in $H$.
Lemma 2.6. Let $\Delta=q^{s-1}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathcal{P}$ be a vector space partition of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ of hole-type $(t, s, c)$. Then, $\tau_{q}(c, \Delta, m) \cdot \frac{q^{n-2}}{\Delta^{2}}-m(m-1) \geq 0$, where

$$
\tau_{q}(c, \Delta, m)=m(m-1) \Delta^{2} q^{2}-c(2 m-1)(q-1) \Delta q+c(q-1)(c(q-1)+1)
$$

Proof. Consider the three equations from Lemma $2.5(c-m \Delta)(c-(m-1) \Delta)$ times the first minus $(2 c-(2 m-1) \Delta-1)$ times the second plus the third equation, and then divided by $\Delta^{2} /(q-1)$, gives

$$
(q-1) \cdot \sum_{h=0}^{\lfloor c / \Delta\rfloor}(m-h)(m-h-1) a_{c-h \Delta}=\tau_{q}(c, \Delta, m) \cdot \frac{q^{n-2}}{\Delta^{2}}-m(m-1)
$$

due to Lemma 2.2. Finally, we observe $a_{i} \geq 0$ and $(m-h)(m-h-1) \geq 0$ for all $m, h \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Lemma 2.7. For integers $n>t \geq s \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq s-1$, there exists no vector space partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ of hole-type $(t, s, c)$, where $c=i \cdot q^{s}-\left[\begin{array}{l}s \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}+s-1$.
Proof. Assume the contrary and apply Lemma 2.6 with $m=i(q-1)$. Setting $i=s-1-y$ we compute

$$
\tau_{q}(c, \Delta, m)=-q \Delta(y(q-1)+2)+(s-1)^{2} q^{2}-q(s-1)(2 s-5)+(s-2)(s-3)
$$

Using $y \geq 0$ we obtain $\tau_{2}(c, \Delta, m) \leq s^{2}+s-2^{s+1}<0$. For $s=2$, we have $\tau_{q}(c, \Delta, m)=-q^{2}+q<0$ and for $q, s>2$ we have $\tau_{q}(c, \Delta, m) \leq-2 q^{s}+(s-1)^{2} q^{2}<0$. Thus, Lemma 2.6 yields a contradiction.
Theorem 2.8. For integers $r \geq 1, k \geq 2$, and $z, u \geq 0$ with $t=\left[\begin{array}{c}r \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}+1-z+u>r$ we have $A_{q}(n, 2 t ; t) \leq$ $l q^{t}+1+z(q-1)$, where $l=\frac{q^{n-t}-q^{r}}{q^{t}-1}$ and $n=k t+r$.
Proof. Assume the existence of a non-trivial vector space partition $\mathcal{P}$ of type $t^{m_{t}} 1^{m_{1}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ with $m_{t}=l q^{t}+x$, where $x=2+z(q-1)$. Since $m_{t} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}t \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}+m_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}n \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$, we have $m_{1}=b q^{t}+c$, where $b=\left[\begin{array}{l}r \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ and $c=-\left[\begin{array}{l}t \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}(x-1)$. Apply Corollary 2.4 with $s=t$ and $j=t-z-1$ on $\mathcal{P}$. The $(n-t+z+1)$-dimensional subspace $U$ contains $L \leq(2 z-u) q^{z+1}+\frac{-\left[\begin{array}{c}t \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}(x-1)+\left[\begin{array}{c}t-z-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}(x-1)}{q^{t-z-1}} \leq z q^{z+1}-\left[\begin{array}{c}z+1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}+z$ holes. For $z=0$ this number is negative and for $z \geq 1$, we can apply Lemma 2.7 using $L \equiv z q^{z+1}-\left[\begin{array}{c}z+1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}+z\left(\bmod q^{z+1}\right)$ (see Lemma 2.2).

The known constructions for partial $t$-spreads give $A_{q}(k t+r, 2 t ; t) \geq l q^{t}+1$, see e.g. [1] (or [11] for an interpretation using the more general multilevel construction for subspace codes). Thus, Theorem 2.8 is tight for $t \geq\left[\begin{array}{l}r \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}+1$, c.f. [12, Lemma 9].

Theorem 2.9. For integers $r \geq 1, k \geq 2$, and $z \geq 0$ with $t=\left[\begin{array}{l}r \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}+1-z>r, n=k t+r$, and $l=\frac{q^{n-t}-q^{r}}{q^{t}-1}$, we have $A_{q}(n, 2 t ; t) \leq l q^{t}+q^{r+1}-\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sqrt{4 q^{r+1}\left(q^{r+1}-(z+r)(q-1)-1\right)+1}\right\rfloor$.
Proof. Assume the existence of a non-trivial vector space partition $\mathcal{P}$ of type $t^{m_{t}} 1^{m_{1}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ with $m_{t}=l q^{t}+x$, where $x \geq 1$. Since $m_{t} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}t \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}+m_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}n \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$, we have $m_{1}=b q^{t}+c$, where $b=\left[\begin{array}{l}r \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ and $c=-\left[\begin{array}{c}t \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}(x-1)$. Apply Corollary 2.4 with $s=t$ and $j=t-r-1$ on $\mathcal{P}$. The $(n-t+r+1)$-dimensional subspace $U$ contains $L \leq(z+r) q^{r+1}+\frac{-\left[\begin{array}{c}t \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}(x-1)+\left[\begin{array}{c}t-r-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}(x-1)}{q^{t-r-1}}=(z+r) q^{r+1}-\left[\begin{array}{c}r+1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}(x-1)$ holes. Due to Lemma 2.2 we have $L \equiv-\left[\begin{array}{c}r+1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}(x-1)\left(\bmod q^{r+1}\right)$. Next, we will show that $\tau_{q}(c, \Delta, m) \leq 0$, where $\Delta=q^{r}$ and $c=i q^{r+1}-\left[\begin{array}{c}r+1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}(x-1)$ with $1 \leq i \leq z+r$, for a suitable $x$ and $m \geq 1$. Applying Lemma 2.6 then gives the desired contradiction, so that $A_{q}(n, 2 t ; t) \leq l q^{t}+x-1$.

We choos ${ }^{2}$ ? $m=i(q-1)-x+2$. With this, solving the quadratic equation $\tau_{q}(c, \Delta, m)=0$ for $x$ gives $x_{0}=\bar{\Delta}+\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \theta(i)$, where $\bar{\Delta}=q \Delta=q^{r+1}$ and $\theta(i)=\sqrt{4 \bar{\Delta} \cdot(\bar{\Delta}-i(q-1)-1)+1}$. Since $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{q}(c, \Delta, m)=\infty$, we have $\tau_{q}(c, \Delta, m) \leq 0$ for $|2 x-2 \bar{\Delta}-1| \leq \theta(i)$. We need to find an integer $x$ such that this inequality is satisfied for all $1 \leq i \leq z+r$. The strongest restriction is attained for $i=z+r$. Since $z \leq\left[\begin{array}{c}r \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}-r$, we have $\theta(i) \geq \theta(z+r) \geq 1$, so that $\tau_{q}(c, \Delta, m) \leq 0$ for $x=\bar{\Delta}-\left\lfloor-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \theta(z+r)\right\rfloor$. With respect to Lemma 2.6 we remark that $-m(m-1)<0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0,1\}$. So, it remains to verify $\tau_{q}(c, \Delta, m)<0$ for $m \in\{0,1\}$. If $i<z+r$ this is true due to $\theta(i)>\theta(z+r)$, so that we assume $i=z+r$. Due to Theorem 2.8 it suffices to consider the cases $x \leq 1+z(q-1)$. Thus $m \geq r(q-1)+1 \geq 2$.

For the special case $t=r+1$, Theorem 2.9 is equivalent to [4] Corollary 8], which is based on [3, Theorem 1B]. And indeed, our analysis is very similar to the techniqu ${ }^{3}$ ]used in [3]. The new ingredients essentially are lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 Postponing the details and proofs to a more extensive and technical paper, we state:

- $2^{4} l+1 \leq A_{2}(4 k+3,8 ; 4) \leq 2^{4} l+4$, where $l=\frac{2^{4 k-1}-2^{3}}{2^{4}-1}$ and $k \geq 2$, e.g., $A_{2}(11,8 ; 4) \leq 132$;
- $2^{6} l+1 \leq A_{2}(6 k+4,12 ; 6) \leq 2^{6} l+8$, where $l=\frac{2^{6 k-2}-2^{4}}{2^{6}-1}$ and $k \geq 2$, e.g., $A_{2}(16,12 ; 6) \leq 1032$;
- $2^{6} l+1 \leq A_{2}(6 k+5,12 ; 6) \leq 2^{6} l+18$, where $l=\frac{2^{6 k-1}-2^{5}}{2^{6}-1}$ and $k \geq 2$, e.g., $A_{2}(17,12 ; 6) \leq 2066$;
- $2^{7} l+1 \leq A_{2}(7 k+5,14 ; 7) \leq 2^{7} l+17$, where $l=\frac{2^{7 k-2}-2^{5}}{2^{7}-1}$ and $k \geq 2$, e.g., $A_{2}(19,14 ; 7) \leq 4113$; c.f. the web-page mentioned in footnote 1 for more numerical values.
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