# Optimization-based subdivision algorithm for reachable sets

Wolfgang Riedl \*

May 2014

#### Abstract

This paper shows how an optimization-based approach to calculate reachable sets can be improved by using a subdivision-algorithm.

### **1** Optimization-based algorithm for reachable sets

The reachable set R (sometimes also called attainable set) at a given time T of a nonlinear control system

 $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), \ x(t_0) = x_0, \ u(t) \in U, \ t \in [t_0, T]$ 

is the union of the endpoints of all feasible solutions.

This set can be approximated using an optimization-based approach (e.g. [1]). The basic idea behind this algorithm is, that we choose an initial bounding box  $B = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times ...$ , discretize this box into a grid G (e.g. an eqidistant grid) and solve the optimal control problem (OCP)

$$\min ||g - x(T)||^2 \text{ subject to } \dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), u(t) \in U \text{ and } x(t_0) = x_0$$
(1)

using direct discretization for every gridpoint  $g \in G$ . The union of the endpoints of the calculated solutions now approximates the reachable set.

### **2** Grid construction via subdivision

The biggest performance problem of the optimization-based algorithm is, that we have to solve many optimization problems, which can be very expensive. To address this issue we use some ideas from subdivision algorithms (e.g. [3], [4]) to reduce the number of gridpoints and therefore the number of optimization problems.

#### **Definition 1.**

$$F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, F(g) = x(T;g)$$
 where x is the solution of the OCP (1).

With this definition we can show that the reachable set R is the global attractor since F(R) = R and  $F(g) \in R$  for every  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Algorithm 1. *Given: Initial collection*  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{B\}$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: e-mail: wolfgang.riedl@uni-bayreuth.de, Chair of Applied Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, University of Bayreuth, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany

1. Subdivision: Construct a new collection  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_k$  such that

$$\bigcup_{B\in\hat{\mathcal{B}}_k} B = \bigcup_{B\in\mathcal{B}_{k-1}} B$$

and

$$\max_{B \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_k} \operatorname{diam}(B) = \theta_k \cdot \max_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{k-1}} \operatorname{diam}(B) \text{ with } 0 < \theta_{\min} \le \theta \le \theta_{\max} < 1$$

2. Selection: Define the new collection  $\mathcal{B}_k$  by

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \{ \hat{B} \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_k : \exists B \in \mathcal{B}_{k-1}, \exists g \in B \text{ such that } F(g) \in \hat{B} \}$$

In the two-dimensional case, we subdivide our initial bounding box into four smaller boxes (i.e.  $\theta = 0.5$ ) by solving the OCP on an equidistant  $3 \times 3$  grid. In the next step we drop all boxes that do not contain at least one endpoint of the nine calculated solutions and we subdivide the remaining boxes in the same way as before. This will be repeated until the grid is dense enough.

Figure 1: First step of the algorithm.



Figure 2: Second step of the algorithm.



Figure 3: Third step of the algorithm.



### **3** Numerical example

The Rayleigh-problem (e.g. [2]) with initial bounding box  $[-10, 10]^2$  can be used as an example to illustrate the improvements of the subdivision algorithm:

$$\dot{x}_1(t) = x_2(t),$$
  
 $\dot{x}_2(t) = -x_1(t) + x_2(t) \cdot (1.4 - 0.14 \cdot x_2(t)^2) + 4 \cdot u(t),$ 

 $u(t) \in [-1, 1], t \in [0, 2.5]$  and  $x_1(0) = x_2(0) = -5$ . Figure 4 shows the results using the optimization-based algorithm on a  $33 \times 33$  grid and Figure 5 the result of the subdivision with the same density of gridpoints near the set. Table 1 compares the cpu-times to calculate the reachable set using the optimization-based algorithm and the subdivision algorithm and Table 2 shows the number of needed gridpoints for both versions.

Figure 4: Example using a full grid.



Figure 5: Example using subdivision.



Table 2: Number of gridpoints

Table 1: CPU-times				Table 2: Number of gridpoints				
grid	full grid	subdivision	speedup	grid	full grid	subdivision	speedup	
33 x 33	1m 27.933s	14.109s	6.2	33 x 33	1089	150	7.3	
49 x 49	3m 21.253s	25.206s	8.0	49 x 49	2401	310	7.7	
65 x 65	5m 48.130s	31.794s	10.9	65 x 65	4225	354	11.9	
97 x 97	13m 05.713s	54.335s	14.5	97 x 97	9409	755	12.5	
129 x 129	22m 53.810s	1m 06.836s	20.6	129 x 129	16641	995	16.7	
193 x 193	51m 11.260s	1m 58.871s	25.8	193 x 193	37249	2353	15.8	
257 x 257	1h 30m 49.837s	2m 23.577s	38.0	257 x 257	66049	3201	20.6	

## References

- [1] R. Baier, M. Gerdts and I. Xausa, Numer. Algebra Control Optim. 3, 519-548 (2013).
- [2] R. Baier and M. Gerdts, Proceedings of the European Control Conference (ECC) 2009, Budapest, Hungary, pp. 97-102.
- [3] M. Dellnitz and A. Hohmann, Numer. Math. 75, 293-317 (1997).
- [4] L. Grüne, Asymptotic Behavior of Dynamical and Control Systems under Perturbation and Discretization (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2002), pp. 178–194.

This paper was published on Dec. 22, 2014 in Proceedings in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Volume 14, Issue 1, pages 937-938 (DOI: 10.1002/pamm.201410449).